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7.18. Let $G$ be an infinite group and let $H$ be the subset of $G$ consisting of the elements with only finitely many conjugates. Equivalently $H$ is the subset of $a \in G$ such that $\left|C_{a}\right|$ is finite. We have to show that $H$ is a subgroup of $G$.

- First, we consider $e$. For all $g \in G, g e g^{-1}=e$. Therefore $C_{e}=\{e\}$ and $\left|C_{e}\right|=1$. Since this is finite, $e \in H$.
- Now let $a, b \in H$ and consider $C_{a b}$. For all $g \in G$, we have using associativity

$$
g a b g^{-1}=g a\left(g^{-1} g\right) b g=\left(g a g^{-1}\right)\left(g b g^{-1}\right)
$$

The factor on the left is an element of $C_{a}$ and the factor on the right is an element of $C_{b}$. Hence when we form these products, we obtain at most $\left|C_{a}\right| \cdot\left|C_{b}\right|$ different elements of $G$. There could be also strictly fewer than $\left|C_{a}\right| \cdot\left|C_{b}\right|$ in some cases, but in any case, the number $\left|C_{a b}\right|$ is finite. This shows $a b \in H$.

- Now let $a \in H$ and consider $\left|C_{a^{-1}}\right|$. The elements of this class all have the form $g a^{-1} g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$. Note that the inverse of this element of $G$ is

$$
\left(g a^{-1} g^{-1}\right)^{-1}=g a g^{-1}
$$

which is one of the elements of $\left|C_{a}\right|$. Since we began by assuming $a \in H$, there are only finitely many different values obtained for $\mathrm{gag}^{-1}$. That implies there are only finitely many conjugates of $a^{-1}$ too since each element of $G$ has a unique inverse in $G$. That shows $a^{-1} \in H$.

Putting together these three points, we get that $H$ is a subgroup of $G$.
7.24. Most people saw correctly that you want to use Sylow II to say that any two Sylow 2-subgroups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ must be conjugate. But then we must say why (or prove directly that) if $H_{2}=g H_{1} g^{-1}$ for two subgroups $H_{1}, H_{2}$ of $G$ and some element $g \in G$, then $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ must be isomorphic as groups. Here's how to show that. Consider the conjugation mapping $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha: H_{1} & \rightarrow H_{2} \\
h & \mapsto g h g^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that $\alpha$ is an isomorphism. First, it is a group homomorphism because if $h, k \in H_{1}$, then

$$
\alpha(h k)=g h k g^{-1}=g h\left(g^{-1} g\right) h g^{-1}=\left(g h g^{-1}\right)\left(g k g^{-1}\right)=\alpha(h) \alpha(k) .
$$

The mapping $\alpha$ is surjective with image $H_{2}$ by Sylow II. Moreover, since $\left|H_{1}\right|=p^{n}=\left|H_{2}\right|$ if $|G|=p^{n} r$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(p, r)=1$, once we know $\alpha$ is surjective, then $\alpha$ must be injective as well. Hence $\alpha$ is an isomorphism of groups.

But this shows that it is impossible for one Sylow 2-subgroup $H_{1} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{4}$ and another Sylow 2-subgroup $H_{2} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. The groups $\mathbf{Z}_{4}$ and $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ are not isomorphic, by the fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups.
7.30. Let $|G|=56=2^{3} \cdot 7$. We claim that $G$ must have a normal subgroup other than $\{e\}$ and $G$, so $G$ is not simple. Let $p=7$. By Sylow III, the number of Sylow 7 -subgroups is $\equiv 1 \bmod 7$ and that number divides 8 . Thus both 1 and 8 Sylow 7 -subgroups are possible. If there is just one, then as usual, Sylow II implies that subgroup is normal and we are done. On the other hand, if there are 8 Sylow 7 -subgroups in $G$, each of order $=7$, then since 7 is prime, each of the Sylow 7 -subgroups is cyclic and there are 6 elements of order 7 in each of them. Distinct Sylow 7 -subgroups can only intersect in the identity element. Counting up the total number of elements in the union of those subgroups we get $1+8 \cdot 6=49$. This leaves only 7 other elements of $G$, and those 7 elements together with $e$ make up exactly one subgroup of order 8 by Sylow I (there exists at least one subgroup with $2^{3}=8$ elements, but there is no room for more than one in this case(!)). Hence if there are 8 Sylow 7 -subgroups, there must be only one Sylow 2 -subgroup, hence that Sylow 2 -subgroup is normal by Sylow II. Hence $G$ is not simple in this case either.

