

Mathematics 243, section 3 – Algebraic Structures  
Exam 2, November 2, 2012

I. Think of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  (the set of ordered pairs of real numbers) as the ordinary Cartesian coordinate plane. Let  $R$  be the relation on  $\mathbf{R}^2$  defined by

$$(x_1, y_1)R(x_2, y_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1^2 + y_1^2 = x_2^2 + y_2^2$$

A) (15) Show that  $R$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

*Solution:*  $R$  is reflexive since for any  $(x, y)$ ,  $x^2 + y^2 = x^2 + y^2$ , so  $(x, y)R(x, y)$  is true.  $R$  is symmetric since if  $(x_1, y_1)R(x_2, y_2)$  is true, then  $x_1^2 + y_1^2 = x_2^2 + y_2^2$ . But then  $x_2^2 + y_2^2 = x_1^2 + y_1^2$ , so  $(x_2, y_2)R(x_1, y_1)$  also. Finally,  $R$  is transitive since if  $(x_1, y_1)R(x_2, y_2)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)R(x_3, y_3)$ , then  $x_1^2 + y_1^2 = x_2^2 + y_2^2$  and  $x_2^2 + y_2^2 = x_3^2 + y_3^2$ . Therefore,  $x_1^2 + y_1^2 = x_3^2 + y_3^2$ , so  $(x_1, y_1)R(x_3, y_3)$ . (Comment: for relations defined in this fashion, the three properties of an equivalence relation follow from the corresponding properties of the equality relation(!))

B) (5) Draw a picture of the equivalence class  $[(3, 4)]$  for this relation.

*Solution:* We have  $3^2 + 4^2 = 25$ , so the equivalence class  $[(3, 4)]$  is the set of all  $(x, y)$  satisfying  $x^2 + y^2 = 25$ . This is the circle of radius 5 centered at  $(0, 0)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

II. (20) Prove by mathematical induction: For all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n(n+1)(n+2)} = \frac{n(n+3)}{4(n+1)(n+2)}.$$

*Solution:* The base case is  $n = 1$  and the formula is true in that case since

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} = \frac{1}{6} = \frac{(1)(4)}{(4)(2)(3)}.$$

For the induction step, assume

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k(k+1)(k+2)} = \frac{k(k+3)}{4(k+1)(k+2)}$$

and consider the corresponding sum for  $n = k + 1$ :

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k(k+1)(k+2)} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, this equals

$$\frac{k(k+3)}{4(k+1)(k+2)} + \frac{1}{(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)}.$$

We find a common denominator, add, and factor the numerator to simplify:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{k(k+3)(k+3)}{4(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)} + \frac{4}{4(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)} \\ &= \frac{k(k+3)(k+3) + 4}{4(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)} \\ &= \frac{k^3 + 6k^2 + 9k + 4}{4(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k+1)(k+4)}{4(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k+4)}{4(k+2)(k+3)} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)((k+1)+3)}{4((k+1)+1)((k+1)+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to show.

III.

- A) (15) Give the precise statement of the division algorithm in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , and prove the existence part.

*Solution:* The statement is that for all integers  $a$  and  $b > 0$ , there exist unique integers  $q, r$  such that

$$(1) \quad a = qb + r \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq r < b.$$

We must show that  $q, r$  as in (1) exist. So consider the set of integers

$$S = \{a - qb : q \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

If  $0 \in S$ , then  $a = qb + 0$  for some  $q$  and both parts of (1) are satisfied. So this case is done, and for the rest of the proof we will assume  $0 \notin S$ .

No matter what the sign of  $a$  is, we will always have positive elements in  $S$  by taking  $q$  negative with sufficiently large absolute value. Hence  $S \cap \mathbf{Z}^+ \neq \emptyset$ . The Well-Ordering Principle implies that  $S \cap \mathbf{Z}^+$  has a smallest element. Call this smallest positive element  $r$ . Then we have  $r = a - qb$  for some  $q \in \mathbf{Z}$  and the first statement in (1) is true since  $a = qb + r$ . The **remainder** of the proof (cue the laugh-track!) is to show

that  $0 < r < b$ . (Note that we have ruled out the case  $r = 0$  above.) If  $r \geq b$ , then we claim that a contradiction results. This is because

$$\begin{aligned} r \geq b &\Rightarrow a - qb = r \geq b \\ &\Rightarrow a - (q+1)b = r - b \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The integer  $a - (q+1)b$  is also in the set  $S$  by definition. Hence either  $0 \in S$  which is ruled out above, or else  $r - b > 0$  is in  $S$ . But  $r - b < r$  since  $b > 0$ . This is a contradiction to the way we found  $r$  (it was supposed to be the smallest positive element in  $S$ ). Hence if  $r \neq 0$ , then  $0 < r < b$ .

- B) (15) Use the Euclidean algorithm to find the integer  $d = \gcd(456, 120)$  and express  $d$  in the form  $d = m \cdot 456 + n \cdot 120$  for some integers  $m, n$ .

*Solution:* We have

$$\begin{aligned} 456 &= 3 \cdot 120 + 96 \\ 120 &= 1 \cdot 96 + 24 \\ 96 &= 4 \times 24 + 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\gcd(456, 120) = 24$  (the last nonzero remainder). Applying the extended Euclidean Algorithm table (or otherwise), we find

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & -3 \\ 1 & -1 & 4 \end{array}$$

Therefore  $24 = (-1)(456) + (4)(120)$  is the equation we want.

- C) (15) Find all solutions  $x \in \mathbf{Z}$  of the congruence  $17x \equiv 5 \pmod{32}$ .

*Solution:* Since  $\gcd(17, 32) = 1$ , we can proceed by finding a multiplicative inverse of  $17 \pmod{32}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 32 &= 1 \cdot 17 + 15 \\ 17 &= 1 \cdot 15 + 2 \\ 15 &= 7 \cdot 2 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 7 & 8 & -15 \end{array}$$

Therefore  $(8)(32) + (-15)(17) = 1$ , which says the multiplicative inverse of  $17$  is  $-15 \equiv 17 \pmod{32}$ . (Note: We can compute  $17^2 = 289 = 9 \cdot 32 + 1$ , so this is correct.) Then the congruence can be rewritten as

$$x \equiv 17 \cdot 5 \equiv 21 \pmod{32}$$

and the solutions in  $\mathbf{Z}$  are all the integers of the form  $x = 21 + 32\ell$  for  $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

IV. (15) Let  $a, b, c$  be integers. Show that if  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $a|(bc)$ , then  $a|c$ .

*Solution 1:* If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then there exist  $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $ma + nb = 1$ . Multiply both sides of this equation by  $c$  to get  $(mc)a + n(bc) = c$ . Since we assume  $a|(bc)$ , we know  $bc = qa$  for some integer  $q$ , and therefore by substitution and rearrangement using commutativity, associativity, and distributivity of multiplication in  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $c = (mc)a + (nq)a = (mc + nq)a$ . This shows  $a|c$ .

*Solution 2:* It is also possible to prove this by reasoning along the lines of Euclid's Lemma. However, since *we are not assuming that  $a$  itself is prime*, this must be done carefully and no one who tried to do it this way quite saw how to push it through correctly. What is true is that if  $p$  is any prime number dividing  $a$ , then  $p|(bc)$ , and Euclid's Lemma shows  $p|b$  or  $p|c$ . However we also assumed that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , so if  $p|a$ , then  $p \nmid b$  and as a result  $p|c$ . This says  $a = pa'$  and  $c = pc'$  for some integers  $a', c'$ . From the equation  $bc = qa$  for some  $q$ , we get  $bc'p = qa'p$ , so  $bc' = qa'$  by cancellation. It is true that  $\gcd(a', b) = 1$  and  $a'|(bc')$ . Hence we can repeat the argument with  $a'$  and  $c'$ . After a finite number of such steps we will have cancelled all the prime factors of  $a$  and shown that  $a|c$ .

*Extra Credit* (10) Give a proof that every positive integer  $n > 1$  is a product of prime numbers using complete induction. (Note: a "product" here may consist of a single factor.)

*Solution:* The base case is  $n = 2$ . Since 2 is a prime the statement is true (allowing products with one factor). Now assume the statement is true for all  $\ell < n$  and consider  $n$ . If  $n$  itself is prime, then we are done as in the base case. Otherwise,  $n = \ell_1\ell_2$  with  $1 < \ell_1, \ell_2 < n$ . By the induction hypothesis we can write both  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  as products of primes, and then the same is true for  $n$ .