## Mathematics 242 – Principles of Analysis Solutions for Midterm Exam 3 May 6, 2011

I. Both parts of this question refer to the function  $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  defined by  $f(x) = x^2 - 6x + 3$ .

A) (20) Consider the regular partitions  $\mathcal{P}_n$  of the interval [0, 2] and show directly, using the upper and lower sums, that f is integrable on [0, 2].

Solution: Note that f is decreasing on [0,2] since f'(x) = 2x - 6 < 0 for all x with  $0 \le x \le 2$ . The partition is

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \{0, 2/n, 4/n, \dots, 2\},\$$

with  $x_i = 2i/n$  for i = 0, 1, ..., n. Hence, since f is smallest at the right endpoint in each subinterval,

$$L_{\mathcal{P}_n}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (2i/n)^2 - 6 \cdot (2i/n) + 3 \right) \frac{2}{n}$$
  
=  $\frac{8}{n^3} \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 - \frac{24}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n i + \frac{6}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1$   
=  $\frac{8}{n^3} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} - \frac{24}{n^2} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 6$   
=  $\frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{n} + \frac{4}{3n^2} - 12 - \frac{12}{n} + 6$   
=  $\frac{-10}{3} - \frac{8}{n} + \frac{4}{3n^2}$ 

Similarly, f is largest at the left endpoint in each subinterval, so

$$U_{\mathcal{P}_n}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (2(i-1)/n)^2 - 6 \cdot (2(i-1)/n) + 3 \right) \frac{2}{n}$$
  
$$= \frac{8}{n^3} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i^2 - \frac{24}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i + \frac{6}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1$$
  
$$= \frac{8}{n^3} \cdot \frac{(n-1)n(2n-1)}{6} - \frac{24}{n^2} \cdot \frac{(n-1)n}{2} + 6$$
  
$$= \frac{8}{3} - \frac{4}{n} + \frac{4}{3n^2} - 12 + \frac{12}{n} + 6$$
  
$$= \frac{-10}{3} + \frac{8}{n} + \frac{4}{3n^2}$$

Therefore, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if  $n > 16/\varepsilon$ ,

$$U_{\mathcal{P}_n}(f) - L_{\mathcal{P}_n}(f) = \frac{16}{n} < \varepsilon.$$

This shows that f is integrable.

- B) (15) Explain why the hypothesis of the Mean Value Theorem is satisfied for f on the interval [1,5] and find the number c mentioned in the conclusion. Solution: f is a polynomial function, so it is differentiable, hence continuous everywhere. On the interval [1,5], f(5) - f(1) = -2 - (-2) = 0. The MVT says that there is some  $c \in (1,5)$  where  $f'(c) = 0 \cdot (5-1) = 0$ . Since f'(c) = 2c - 6 = 0, this is true for c = 3.
- II. (15) For which  $a \ge 0$  is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^a \sin(1/x) & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

f continuous at x = 0? For which  $a \ge 0$  is f differentiable at x = 0?

Solution: Note that this function is not defined for x < 0 for some a. Hence in the limits below, we will consider the case  $x \to 0^+$  only. When  $x \to 0^-$  also makes sense, the limits will be the same. For continuity at x = 0, we must have  $\lim_{x\to 0^+} x^a \sin(1/x) = f(0) = 0$ . This will be true by the squeeze theorem for limits as long as a > 0:

$$x^a \cdot (-1) \le x^a \sin(1/x) \le x^a \cdot 1$$

for all x > 0. Hence since  $\lim_{x \to 0^+} x^a = 0$  if a > 0,

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} x^a \sin(1/x) = 0 = f(0).$$

This is not true with a = 0 since then the limit does not exist. For differentiability at x = 0, the limit

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \to 0^+} x^{a - 1} \sin(1/x)$$

must exist. This will be true (and the limit will equal zero) as long as a > 1 (squeeze theorem again).

III. (20) Show that if f is monotone increasing on [a, b], then f is integrable on [a, b].

Solution: If f is monotone increasing on [a, b] and  $\mathcal{P} = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b\}$  is a regular partition, then

$$U_{\mathcal{P}}(f) - L_{\mathcal{P}}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \frac{b-a}{n} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(x_i) \frac{b-a}{n}.$$

This is a telescoping sum, which cancels to

$$\frac{(f(b) - f(a))(b - a)}{n}.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , this difference will be  $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$  as soon as  $n > \frac{(f(b) - f(a))(b-a)}{\varepsilon}$ . Therefore f is integrable on [a, b].

IV. True-False. Say whether each of the following statements is true or false. For true statements, give short proofs; for false ones give reasons or counterexamples. Do any *three* parts. If you submit solutions for all four, then I will consider the other one for Extra Credit.

A) (10) Let  $f(x) = e^x - e^{2x}$ . There exists some  $c \in (0, \ln(3))$  such that f(c) = -2.

Solution: The statement is TRUE. We apply the IVT. First, f is continuous everywhere since the exponentials  $e^x$  and  $e^{2x}$  are differentiable everywhere. On the interval  $[0, \ln(3)]$ , we have f(0) = 1 - 1 = 0 and  $f(\ln(3)) = 3 - 9 = -6$ . Since -2 is in the range between the endpoint values, the ("weak form" of) the IVT implies that there exists  $c \in (0, \ln(3))$  such that f(c) = -2.

B) (10) If f is differentiable on (a, b) and there exists a real number  $B \ge 0$  such that |f'(x)| < B for all  $x \in (a, b)$ , then f is uniformly continuous on (a, b).

Solution: This is TRUE. We apply the MVT to f on the interval [x, x'] where a < x < x' < b are arbitrary. Then there exists a  $c \in (x, x')$  such that f(x) - f(x') = f'(c)(x - x'). Taking absolute values, this implies

$$|f(x) - f(x')| = |f'(c)||x - x'| \le B|x - x'|.$$

Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta = \varepsilon/B$ . Then  $|x - x'| < \varepsilon/B$  implies  $|f(x) - f(x')| < B \cdot \varepsilon/B = \varepsilon$ . This shows uniform continuity of f on (a, b).

C) (10) If  $A \subset \mathbf{R}$  is uncountably infinite, then A contains some nonempty interval (a, b).

Solution: This is FALSE. The Cantor set C is a counterexample. The "quick and dirty" approach for giving a reason is to recall that C is obtained by removing a collection of open intervals with total length equal to 1 from the interval [0, 1]. Hence what is left cannot contain a nonempty open interval (a, b). If so, then C would contain an interval of length b - a > 0. But that cannot be true since it would say C and its complement C' could not fit together inside [0, 1].

Here is a sketch of a better proof as well. Recall that we showed the Cantor set was uncountably infinite in class using the base-3 description of the elements of the Cantor set – they are all the numbers in [0, 1] that have base-3 expansions using only the digits 0, 2. So the elements of the Cantor set are in correspondence with binary expansions of numbers in [0, 1], which we know is uncountably infinite by the Cantor diagonal argument. On the other hand, C cannot contain any interval. To see this,

note that if  $c \in C$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there will always be elements of the complement of the Cantor set in  $(c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon)$  – just go "far enough out" in the base three expansion

$$c = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}$$

and change some of the digits. Namely, let  $n_0$  be sufficiently large that that the sum

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^n} = \frac{1}{3^{n_0-1}} < \varepsilon.$$

If we change a base-3 digit  $a_n$  in the expansion of c for some  $n \ge n_0$  from a 0 or 2 to a 1, keeping at least one  $a_n = 2$  to the right of that 1 so that the number will not be one of those in which the 1 can be replaced by an alternate expansion with a repeating 2 tail, we get a new number c'. The resulting number c' will satisfy

$$|c-c'| < \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^n} < \varepsilon.$$

But it will be in the complement of C because of the digit equal to 1.

D) Let f be continuous on [a, b] and assume f(x) > 0 for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then there exists a constant k > 0 such that  $f(x) \ge k > 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

Solution: This is TRUE. By the Extreme Value Theorem, if  $k = \text{glb}\{f(x) \mid x \in [a, b]\}$ , then there exists a  $c \in [a, b]$  such that f(c) = k. But then by assumption k > 0 and  $f(x) \ge k$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .