MATH 242 - Principles of Analysis
Solutions for Exam 2 - April 1, 2011
I.
A) (20) State and prove the Monotone Convergence Theorem for sequences. (You may give the proof in the case that the sequence is monotone increasing.)

Solution: The Theorem says that every bounded monotone sequence in $\mathbf{R}$ converges to some real number $a$. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is monotone increasing, let $a=\operatorname{lub}\left(\left\{x_{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}\right)$. then for all $\varepsilon>0, a-\varepsilon$ is not an upper bound for $\left\{x_{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$. Hence there exists some $n_{0}$ such that $a-\varepsilon<x_{n_{0}} \leq a$. Since the sequence is monotone increasing, for all $n \geq n_{0}$, we have $a-\varepsilon<x_{n_{0}} \leq x_{n} \leq a$. But this implies that $\left|x_{n}-a\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Hence $x_{n} \rightarrow a$.
B) (10) Suppose that $x_{n}$ is a sequence of strictly negative numbers and $x_{n+1} / x_{n} \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=a$ exists in $\mathbf{R}$ and satisfies $a \leq 0$.

Solution: Since the $x_{n}<0$, from the inequality $x_{n+1} / x_{n} \leq 1$, we get $x_{n+1} \geq x_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. (The inequality reverses when we multiply by the negative number $x_{n}$.) This implies the sequence is monotone increasing. Since it is also bounded above by 0 , part (A) shows that $x_{n} \rightarrow a$ for some $a \leq 0$.
II. (20) Suppose $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence such that $\left|x_{n}-10\right|<100$ for all $n \geq 1$. Show that there exists some number $a \in[-90,110]$ and a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{k}} \rightarrow a$. State any "big theorems" you are using.

Solution: Since $\left|x_{n}-10\right|<100$ for all $n$, it follows that $-100<x_{n}-10<100$, so $-90<x_{n}<110$. Hence $\left|x_{n}\right| \leq 110$ for all $n$, so this is a bounded sequence. By the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, there must be a convergent subsequence $x_{n_{k}} \rightarrow a$ for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$. The limit must also lie in the interval $[-90,110]$ because of the "order limit theorem" (Corollary 2.2.8 in our text). This result says: If $a \leq x_{n} \leq b$ for all $n$, and $x_{n} \rightarrow c$, then $a \leq c \leq b$ also.
III. (20) Show using the $\varepsilon, \delta$ definition that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 2} x^{2}-x=2$.

Solution: ("prep": $\left|x^{2}-x-2\right|=|x-2||x+1|$. If $|x-2|<1$, then $1<x<3$, so $|x+1|$ satisfies $2<|x+1|<4$.)
proof: Given $\varepsilon>0$, let $\delta=\min \left(1, \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)$. Then for all $x$ with $0<|x-2|<\delta, 1<x<3$, so $|x+1|<4$. Therefore, for all such $x$,

$$
\left|x^{2}-x-2\right|=|x-2||x+1|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \cdot 4=\varepsilon
$$

This shows $\lim _{x \rightarrow 2} x^{2}-x=2 . / /$
IV. True/False. For each true statement, give a short proof. For each false statement, give a counterexample or reason.
A) (10) If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ diverges, then for all $a \in \mathbf{R}$, there exist $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $n_{0} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\left|x_{n}-a\right| \geq \varepsilon_{0}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$.

Solution 1: This is FALSE. A counterexample is the sequence $x_{n}=(-1)^{n}$. If $a=1$ (or $a=-1$ ), note there is no $n_{0}$ and no $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\left|x_{n}-a\right| \geq \varepsilon_{0}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$ because $\left|(-1)^{n}-1\right|=0$ for all even $n$. (Similarly, $\left|(-1)^{n}+1\right|=0$ for all odd $n$.)

Solution 2: We can also see that this is FALSE if we think of the meaning of the statement: For all $a \in \mathbf{R}, x_{n} \nrightarrow a$ (that is, $x_{n}$ does not converge to $a$.). In symbolic form, by negating the definition of the statement $x_{n} \rightarrow a$, this says

$$
\forall a, \exists \varepsilon_{0}>0, \forall n_{0}, \exists n \geq n_{0}, \text { s.t. }\left|x_{n}-a\right| \geq \varepsilon_{0}
$$

(Note: the quantifier on the $n$ is $\exists$, not $\forall$. The given statement would certainly imply that the sequence does not converge to $a$, but it is not necessarily true for divergent sequences, as the example in the first solution shows.)
B) (10) It is possible to find a function $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ that is continuous at $c=\sqrt{2}$, with $f(\sqrt{2})=0$, but satisfying $f(x)=1$ for all rational $x$.

Solution 1: This is FALSE. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is any sequence of rational numbers with $x_{n} \rightarrow \sqrt{2}$, then by a standard property of continuous functions, $f\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow f(\sqrt{2})$. But $f\left(x_{n}\right)=1$ for all $n$, and $f(\sqrt{2})=0$. So this is not possible.

Solution 2: We can also see that this is FALSE if we apply the definition of continuity directly. Aiming for a contradiction, suppose there were such a function. Then for all $\varepsilon>0$, there would exist $\delta>0$ such that $|f(x)-f(\sqrt{2})|<\varepsilon$ for all $x$ with $|x-\sqrt{2}|<\delta$. If $f(\sqrt{2})=0$ and we take $\varepsilon<1$, then the interval defined by $|y-0|<\varepsilon$ does not contain 1. But every interval defined by $|x-\sqrt{2}|<\delta$ for $\delta>0$ contains rational numbers $x$ and for those $x, f(x)=1$. This is a contradiction which shows no such $f$ exist.
C) (10) The sequence defined by $x_{1}=1$ and $x_{n}=\sqrt{3 x_{n-1}+1}$ for $n \geq 2$ is monotone increasing.

Solution: This is TRUE. We have $x_{1}=1$ and $x_{2}=\sqrt{4}=2$. so $x_{2}>x_{1}$. This is the base case for an induction. Assume $x_{k+1} \geq x_{k}$ for a given $k$. Then by the induction hypothesis,

$$
x_{k+2}=\sqrt{3 x_{k+1}+1} \geq \sqrt{3 x_{k}+1}=x_{k+1} .
$$

Therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is increasing by induction.
Extra Credit. (10) Assume that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence that converges to $a$. Construct a new sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ by making $y_{n}$ the average of the first $n$ terms in $\left\{x_{n}\right\}: y_{n}=\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}}{n}$. Show that $y_{n}$ also converges to $a$.

Solution: Here's the idea. By the triangle inequality, note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y_{n}-a\right| & =\left|\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}}{n}-a\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{n}\left|x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}-n a\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\left|x_{1}-a\right|+\cdots+\left|x_{n}-a\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $a$, recall that we know the sequence is bounded. This implies that there exists $M$ such that $\left|x_{n}-a\right| \leq M$ for all $n$ as well. Now, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N$ such that $\left|x_{n}-a\right|<\varepsilon / 2$ for all $n \geq N$. So if $n \geq N$, continuing from the last line above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\left|x_{1}-a\right|+\cdots+\left|x_{N-1}-a\right|\right)+\frac{n-N+1}{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
& <\frac{N M}{n}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we can think of the $N$ that works here as fixed, but $n$ is still allowed to grow, note that we can now find $n$ large enough so that $\frac{N M}{n}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ as well by taking $n>\frac{2 N M}{\varepsilon}$. In other words, given $\varepsilon>0$, we take $n_{0}=\max \left(N, \frac{2 N M}{\varepsilon}\right)$. Then $n \geq n_{0}$ implies $\left|y_{n}-a\right|<\varepsilon$.

