

MONT 108N – Mathematics Through Time
 Discussion 2 – An Old Babylonian Mathematical Table
 October 1, 2010

A number of Old Babylonian tablets with information equivalent to the following table of base 60 numbers have been found. This was clearly a standard part of the Babylonian mathematicians’ “calculation toolkit.” To make things simpler for a first encounter with “the real thing,” I have set the table using our convention for the cuneiform number symbols: in the base 60 digits, $< = 10$ and $\vee = 1$. *Spaces* separate each base 60 digit from the next one. The first number on the row is added just to help us identify the rows in the table.

1	∨∨	<<<
2	∨∨∨	<<
3	∨∨∨∨	< ∨∨∨∨∨
4	∨∨∨∨∨	< ∨∨
5	∨∨∨∨∨∨	<
6	∨∨∨∨∨∨∨∨	∨∨∨∨∨∨∨ <<<
7	∨∨∨∨∨∨∨∨∨∨	∨∨∨∨∨∨ <<<<
8	<	∨∨∨∨∨∨
9	< ∨∨	∨∨∨∨∨
10	< ∨∨∨∨∨	∨∨∨∨
11	< ∨∨∨∨∨∨	∨∨∨ <<<< ∨∨∨∨∨
12	< ∨∨∨∨∨∨∨∨∨	∨∨∨ <<
13	<<	∨∨∨
14	<< ∨∨∨∨	∨∨ <<<
15	<< ∨∨∨∨∨	∨∨ << ∨∨∨∨
16	<< ∨∨∨∨∨∨∨	∨∨ < ∨∨∨ <<
17	<<<	∨∨
18	<<< ∨∨	∨ <<<<< ∨∨ <<<
∴		

Thus for instance, the 6th row would translate to base 60 numbers expressed like this in our notation.

$$(8)_{60} \quad (7 : 30)_{60}$$

(note the space between the ∨∨∨∨∨∨∨∨ and the <<< on the right on this row).

Questions

- A) Translate all the table entries into base 60 numbers like this.
- B) Then figure out what the table is and explain in a paragraph how the numbers in the second column relate to the numbers in the first. Also, is there any ambiguity involved? *Hint:* A good way to approach this is to interpret the numbers in the left

column as whole numbers. However, the ones on the right are best understood as base-60 *fractions*. (Where does the *sexagesimal point* go?)

- C) Babylonian mathematicians would have used a table like this to compute things like the base-60 form of fractions like $5/32$. How could this table (and perhaps information from another table) be used for that?