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I. Egyptian multplication and division.
C) Divide by the Egyptian method: $167 \div 18$.

Solution: We want to calculate with 18 to yield 167, so we start by doubling:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \times 18=18 \\
& 2 \times 18=36 \\
& 4 \times 18=72 \\
& 8 \times 18=144
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these we can make $9 \times 18=144+18=162$. The left over part (remainder) is $167-162=5$. Now to get this fractional part of the quotient in an easy way, recall that the Egyptians did allow the non-unit fraction $2 / 3$. This would be useful here, for instance if we start by multiplying by $2 / 3$, then multiply by $1 / 2$ once and $2 / 3$ again:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 / 3 \times 18=12 \\
& 1 / 3 \times 18=6 \\
& 1 / 6 \times 18=3 \\
& 1 / 9 \times 18=2
\end{aligned}
$$

So we get $167 \div 18=9+\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{9}$. (This was not really an exact science for the Egyptians - it seems as though they were happy if they just found something that worked!)
II. Egyptian fractions. A part of the table of conversions of $2 / n$ into unit fractions from the Rhind papyrus is given in the handout from class on Wednesday, September 21. Each row gives an expansion

$$
\frac{2}{n}=\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}+\frac{1}{d}
$$

for one of the odd integers $3 \leq n \leq 101$. (if there is no entry for $c$ and/or $d$, then those terms are not present).
A) Verify that the rows for $n=13$ and $n=29$ are

Solution: What I meant for you to do on this one was something like this: From the table $\frac{2}{13}=\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{52}+\frac{1}{104}$. But putting the fractions over a common denominator and adding,

$$
\frac{1}{8}+\frac{1}{52}+\frac{1}{104}=\frac{13+2+1}{104}=\frac{2 \cdot 8}{13 \cdot 8}=\frac{2}{13} .
$$

This verifies that the entry is exactly correct. With a finite decimal approximation, the most you can say is that the results are close. (The decimal expansion for $2 / 13$ is infinite and repeating.) The other one is similar.
B) Use the table to find a decomposition of $\frac{4}{27}$ into unit fractions.

Solution: From the table

$$
\frac{2}{27}=\frac{1}{18}+\frac{1}{54}
$$

so doubling and canceling factors of 2 :

$$
\frac{4}{27}=\frac{2}{18}+\frac{2}{54}=\frac{1}{9}+\frac{1}{27} .
$$

(There are other correct ways to do this also.)
C) Show that for any positive integer $n$

$$
\frac{2}{n}=\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{2 n}+\frac{1}{3 n}+\frac{1}{6 n} .
$$

Yet this expansion appears only once in the table from the Rhind papyrus. For which $n$ did the compiler of the table fall back on this form? Can you see why they might have preferred to avoid using this if possible?
Solution: The formula is valid for general $n$ because if we find the common denominator $6 n$, then

$$
\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{2 n}+\frac{1}{3 n}+\frac{1}{6 n}=\frac{6+3+2+1}{6 n}=\frac{12}{6 n}=\frac{2}{n} .
$$

It's not enough to check that this works for one (or any finite number of) specific value(s) of $n$ because the question is asking for a general proof that the formula always works. This form appears in the Rhind papyrus $2 / n$ table only for $n=101$. It is likely that the Egyptians prefered to use sums with 2 or 3 terms when they could find them to simplify calculations.
IV. An Egyptian approximation to $\pi$. We take $\pi$ to be the ratio between the area of a circle and the square of the radius: $\pi=\frac{A}{r^{2}}$.
A) In the Rhind papyrus, the area of a circle with diameter $d$ is given as

$$
A_{c i r c}=\left(\frac{8}{9} d\right)^{2}
$$

(This is not exactly correct, but yields decent approximate results.) What is the implied approximation to the value of $\pi$ here?
Solution: The Egyptian formula would be correct if

$$
\left(\frac{8 d}{9}\right)^{2}=\pi\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

which would say $\pi=\frac{4 \cdot 64}{81} \doteq 3.16$. That is quite close to the exact value of $3.14159 \cdots$.
B) Here's one way this may have been derived. Take a square of side 9 units, divide the edges into three equal pieces, and cut off four corner triangles with side length 3 to
make an octagon. The octagon is pretty close in area to the circle inscribed in the original square. Explain why the octagon has area $63 \doteq 8^{2}$, and then explain how the approximation $A_{\text {circ }}=\left(\frac{8}{9} d\right)^{2}$ would result.
Solution/Comment: If we inscribe a circle of radius $d$ in a square of side $d$, the area is very close to the area of the octagon obtained by cutting off the four corners of the square as described. This octagon has exact area $\frac{7 d^{2}}{9}$. But then

$$
\frac{7 d^{2}}{9}=\frac{63 d^{2}}{81} \doteq \frac{64 d^{2}}{81}=\left(\frac{8 d}{9}\right)^{2}
$$

This is thought to be how the Egyptians derived this approximate area formula for the circle.

