Toric Varieties in Geometric Modeling Math in the Mountains Tutorial

John B. Little

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science College of the Holy Cross

July 29-31, 2013

Outline

- Geometric modeling basic examples
- 2 Toric surface patches
- The (algebraic) moment map
- 4 Linear precision and connections with statistics

Computer aided geometric design

- Since the 1960's Bézier curves and surfaces have been a fundamental tool for designing, rendering, manufacturing shapes
- Work by Bézier (Renault) and de Casteljau (Citroën)
- We will see how the study of toric varieties gives some interesting tools for understanding this area and developing new primitives, and
- discuss some (perhaps unexpected) connections with moment maps and Birch's Theorem from the talk on algebraic statistics

Example 0 – cubic Bézier curves

- Let P₀, P₁, P₂, P₃ be any four points in ℝ² (same construction would also work in ℝ³, or ℝ^d more generally)
- The associated Bézier cubic is the parametric curve defined by

$$b(t) = (x(t), y(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} {3 \choose i} t^{i} (1-t)^{3-i} P_{i}$$

(Exercise: This is always a subset of a rational algebraic curve of degree 3 in x, y.)

 Note: the coefficients are the Bernstein basis for polynomials of degree ≤ 3 and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{3} \binom{3}{i} t^{i} (1-t)^{3-i} = (t+(1-t))^{3} = 1$$

Two Bézier curves



Bézier curves, cont.

- Note the way the *P_i* (the control points) control the shape
- *b*(0) = *P*₀ and *b*(1) = *P*₃
- the relation on the Bernstein polynomials given above implies that b(t) lies in conv{P₀, P₁, P₂, P₃} for all 0 ≤ t ≤ 1
- Tangent vector at P₀ is determined by P₁ P₀; tangent vector at P₃ is determined by P₃ P₂
- More complicated shapes (e.g. character outlines in a typeface) can be specified, or even designed, via Bézier splines.
- Can also replace cubic Bézier curves by degree n Bézier curves with n + 1 control points (splines are generally superior for applications, though!)

Bézier surfaces

- Can consider similar parametric surfaces
- Two commonly-used types: Bézier triangles and rectangles ("tensor products" of two Bézier curves)
- For example, a Bézier triangle of order 3 would be given by the selection of 10 control points P_{ij} in ℝ³:

$$b(x,y) = \sum_{0 \le i+j \le 3} \frac{3!}{i!j!(3-i-j)!} x^i y^j (1-x-y)^{3-i-j} P_{ij}$$

on the domain $\Delta=\mathrm{conv}\{(0,0),(1,0),(0,1)\}$

• Note: the edges will be cubic Bézier curves defined by the 4-tuples of control points $\{P_{00}, P_{10}, P_{20}, P_{30}\}, \{P_{00}, P_{10}, P_{20}, P_{30}\}, \text{ and } \{P_{30}, P_{21}, P_{12}, P_{03}\}$

A Bézier triangle



Figure: Corner control points are (0,0,0), (3,3,1), (1,2,4)

A generalization

- In [K], Krasauskas introduced a generalization of the Bézier triangles and tensor product patches:
- Construction starts from any convex lattice polygon Δ in \mathbb{R}^2
- Number the edges in some way with *i* = 1,...,*r*; say v_i is an inward normal to the *i*th edge, and h_i(x, y) = ⟨v_i, (x, y)⟩ + a_i = 0 defines that line
- The article [K] defines toric Bézier basis, or blending, functions indexed by the lattice points m ∈ Δ ∩ Z²:

$$F_m(x, y) = h_1(x, y)^{h_1(m)} \cdots h_r(x, y)^{h_r(m)}$$

Toric patch parametrizations

Let $w_m > 0$ be weights, and choose control points $\mathcal{P} = \{P_m \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid m \in \mathcal{A}\}$, both indexed by $\mathcal{A} = \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$. The corresponding toric surface patch of shape \mathcal{A}, w is the rational parametric surface

$$b_{\mathcal{A},w,\mathcal{P}}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}} w_m F_m(x,y)} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}} w_m F_m(x,y) P_m$$

for $(x, y) \in \Delta$. (We may sometimes omit the \mathcal{P} in the notation.)

Example - tensor product surfaces as toric patches

- Use the normal vectors (0, 1), (-1, 0), (0, -1), (1, 0) counterclockwise around Δ
- Corresponding h_i are $h_1(x, y) = y$, $h_2(x, y) = p x$, $h_3(x, y) = q y$, $h_4(x, y) = x$
- We take $w_m = \binom{p}{a} \binom{q}{b}$ and

$$F_m(x,y) = x^a(p-x)^{p-a} \cdot y^b(q-y)^{q-b}$$

A reparametrization of the usual tensor product Bézier patch of bidegree (*p*, *q*) − standard form scales by letting *x* = *p*ξ and *y* = *q*η for (ξ, η) ∈ [0, 1] × [0, 1].

Example – Bézier triangles as toric patches

- Let $\Delta = \operatorname{conv}\{(0,0), (k,0), (0,k)\}$ and
- Let $\mathcal{A} = \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the set of all lattice points in Δ
- Exercise: $b_{\mathcal{A},w}$ for $\Delta_k = \operatorname{conv}\{(0,0), (k,0), (0,k)\}$ is (a reparametrization of) the Bézier triangle from before (with proper choice of w_m).

General properties

- Exercises: Just as in the simpler cases,
- Image $b_{\mathcal{A}, w, \mathcal{P}}(\Delta)$ lies in the convex hull of the set \mathcal{P} ,
- Image contains the control points corresponding to vertices of Δ
- Control points for lattice points of Δ on edges, but not at vertices, determine shape of the boundaries; control points for interior lattice points of Δ can be used to introduce concavity, ...
- "Structural" singular points of the image (i.e. present for generic control points) are determined by lattice geometry of Δ (singular cones in normal fan)
- For more about all of this, see [K] and [CGS].

But does the generality get you anything?

- In the applications, triangles and rectangles can be slightly awkward: for some surfaces, might need to subdivide a lot
- Being able to construct 5- or 6-sided patches, for example, might be useful for some things
- Consider a toric surface patch from the hexagon

 $\Delta=\text{conv}\{(0,0),(1,0),(2,1),(2,2),(1,2),(0,1)\}$

for some particular control points in \mathbb{R}^3 .

The F_m for this \mathcal{A}

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(0,0)} &= (y-x+1)(2-x)^2(2-y)^2(x-y+1) \\ F_{(1,0)} &= (2-x)(2-y)^2(x-y+1)^2x \\ F_{(2,1)} &= x^2y(2-y)(x-y+1)^2 \\ F_{(2,2)} &= (y-x+1)x^2y^2(x-y+1) \\ F_{(1,2)} &= xy^2(y-x+1)^2(2-x) \\ F_{(0,1)} &= y(y-x+1)^2(2-x)^2(2-y) \\ F_{(0,0)} &= y(y-x+1)(2-x)(2-y)(x-y+1)x. \end{aligned}$$

Also, let $w_m = 1$ for all m

Two views of a toric surface patch





Figure: Hexagonal toric patch

Figure: Rotated view – $m = (1, 1) \leftrightarrow P_m = (-3, -7, 5)$

Partial patches

We could also do the same replacing A by *any subset* A' of the lattice points in Δ (usually want to include all of the vertices of Δ so the polygon itself does not change)

Exercise: What is the relation between the corresponding toric surface patches?

A message from our sponsor ...

- From what we have seen previously and these examples, it should be relatively clear that the image of b_{A,w,P} is somehow related to a *toric variety*. But, what is the precise relation?
- To simplify notation, let $\ell = |\mathcal{A}|$, take $w_m = 1$ all m
- First observation: Since *h_m* come from the inward normals to Δ, the map *H* : Δ → ℝ^ℓ given by the *h_m* has image in ℝ^ℓ_{≥0}.
- The toric blending functions come from composing this H with χ : ℝ^ℓ → ℝ^ℓ defined by

$$y \longmapsto (y_1^{h_1(m)} \cdots y_\ell^{h_\ell(m)} : m \in \mathcal{A})$$

The "punch line"

- So far, we have χ ∘ H : Δ → ℝ^ℓ_{≥0} → ℝ^ℓ_{≥0}. The toric surface patch is the composition π_P ∘ χ ∘ H, where π_P : ℝ^ℓ → ℝ³ is the affine form of a linear projection defined by the set of control points
- (Exercise) Since h_i(x, y) = ⟨**v**_i, (x, y)⟩ + a_i, the m-component of χ(y) is just y^az^m, where a = (a₁,..., a_ℓ) comes from the constant terms, and z = (z_i) where

$$z_j = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} y_i^{\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle}, \quad j = 1, 2$$

But the map z → (z^m : m ∈ A) is just the monomial parametrization of the toric variety Y_A.

Factoring toric patches another way

4

 Hence a toric surface patch can be factored a different way as

$$\Delta
ightarrow (Y_{\mathcal{A}})_{\geq 0}
ightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

- The first map is $\chi \circ H$
- The second is the projection π_P defined by the control points
- also, (Y_A)_{≥0} is the is the set of points obtained from the monomial parametrization of Y_A by taking all parameter values real and ≥ 0.

Relation with earlier examples

- If A = conv{(0,0), (k,0), (0, k)} ∩ Z², then (Y_A)_{≥0} is a subset of the real points of the degree-k Veronese image of P²
- If $\mathcal{A} = ([0, p] \times [0, q]) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$, $(Y_{\mathcal{A}})_{\geq 0}$ is a subset of the Veronese-Segre bidegree (p, q) image of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$
- The images of corresponding toric surface patches will be projections of these defined by the control points
- Krasauskas' construction generalizes this to any $\mathcal{A} \subset \Delta \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$, though, so it's very flexible!

But wait a minute, ...

- Will it *always* be true that a toric surface patch preserves the shape of Δ to the degree we saw with the hexagon?
- For instance, do k-sided polygons Δ map to k-sided b_{A,w}(Δ)? Of course, it also depends on the choice of the control points, but there is an interesting connection between this applied question and a general theoretical statement about toric varieties (which in turn connects with interesting questions in topology and symplectic geometry)
- The connection depends on an algebraic version of the *moment map*

The moment map

Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of lattice points with convex hull $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The (algebraic) moment map of the toric surface $Y_{\mathcal{A}}$ is follows

$$F: Y_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$$

 $x \longmapsto rac{1}{\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}} |x_{m}|} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}} |x_{m}| m$

(Recall, the entries of points in Y_A are in 1-1 correspondence with the $m \in A$ via the monomial parametrization.) The symplectic moment map has $|x_m|^2$ instead of $|x_m|$.

The theorem

Theorem

The mapping f restricts to a homeomorphism between $(Y_A)_{\geq 0}$ and the polygon $\Delta = \operatorname{conv}(A)$.

(See Theorem 12.2.2 in [CLS] or Chapter 4 of [F].)

The image of $b_{\mathcal{A}, W, \mathcal{P}}$ is a linear projection of $(Y_{\mathcal{A}})_{\geq 0}$. If the edges of Δ are primitive lattice segments (as for the hexagon before), the boundaries are parts of straight lines too.

Final observations

• Looking back at the algebraic moment map definition:

$$f: Y_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$$
$$x \longmapsto \frac{1}{\sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}} |x_{m}|} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{A}} |x_{m}|m$$

note that $f \circ \chi \circ H$ restricted to Δ is "almost" the same as the toric patch – *but using the* $m \in A$ "*as the control points*"

In some cases, we can see that f ∘ χ ∘ H is actually the identity map on Δ

An exercise:

Let Δ the triangle $\Delta_k = \operatorname{conv}\{(0,0), (k,0), (0,k)\}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \Delta_k \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$

Let *w* be the set of weights for the Bézier triangle toric surface patch as before.

Then for the moment map *f* on the degree *k* Veronese image, show that $f \circ \chi \circ H$ is the identity on Δ .

Linear precision

- Often used in a less restrictive sense there, though:
- For some weights w_m ≥ 0 and some choice of control points m whose convex hull is Δ, the parametrized patch (with control points the m) is the identity on Δ
- If A is the set of vertices of Δ and the blending functions of a patch with linear precision are *barycentric coordinates* on Δ

Final observations, continued

- Can *always reparametrize* a toric surface patch by a homeomorphism $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ to get linear precision
- Can also vary weights *w_m* and non-vertices of ∆ to "tune" to obtain linear precision in some cases.
- Interesting question: which A and sets of blending functions on Δ have this property "automatically?" The article [BRS] shows that this true for Krasauskas' toric surface patches *only* for the Δ_k triangles, the [p, 0] × [0, q] rectangles, and certain trapezoids where X_Δ is a rational normal scroll (Hirzebruch surface)!
- One can ask the analogous question in higher dimensions too and which Δ give linear precision is an open question

Another characterization of linear precision

- Proof in [BRS] is based on the following:
- Given A, and the w_m for $m \in A$, let $f_{A,w} = \sum_{m \in A} w_m x^m$ (a Laurent polynomial)
- Then the toric surface patch of shape \mathcal{A}, w has linear precision if and only if the rational mapping $\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$\frac{1}{f}\left(x_1\frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{A},w}}{\partial x_1}, x_2\frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{A},w}}{\partial x_2}\right)$$

(toric polar mapping) is a birational isomorphism

Relation to Birch's theorem

In the talk on algebraic statistics, we considered toric models associated to integer matrices \mathcal{A} defined by expressions like

$$\varphi: \theta \mapsto \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \theta^{A_j}} \left(\theta^{A_1}, \dots, \theta^{A_m} \right).$$

The *toric model* associated to \mathcal{A} is $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^d_{\geq 0})$ and this is $(Y_{\mathcal{A}})_{\geq 0}$ as above (abuse of notation: \mathcal{A} for both the matrix and the set of lattice points).

Given data u and b = Au, the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ gives $\hat{p} \in \varphi(\mathbb{R}^d_{>0})$ and $A\hat{p} = \frac{1}{N}b$. Almost the same as the case where the control points are taken to be the $m \in \Delta$ (morally, $\hat{p} \mapsto A\hat{p}$ "is" the moment map).

References for further study

- BRS von Bothmer, Ranestad, and Sottile, *Linear precision for toric surface patches*, Found. of Comput. Math. 10 (2010), 37-66
 - K Krasauskas, *Toric Surface Patches*, Adv. Comput. Math. 17 (2002), 89-113
- CGS Craciun, Garcia-Puente, and Sottile, Some geometrical aspects of control points for toric patches, Mathematical Methods for Curves and Surfaces (2010), 111-135 (arXiv 0812.1275)
- CLS Cox, Little, and Schenck, Toric Varieties, AMS, 2011
 - F Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Princeton U. Press, 1993
 - GS Garcia-Puente and Sottile, *Linear precision for parametric patches*, Adv. Comput. Math. 33 (2010), 191-214.