Toric Varieties in Error-Control Coding Theory Math in the Mountains Tutorial

John B. Little

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science College of the Holy Cross

July 29-31, 2013

A bit of history

- Beginning of coding theory as a mathematical and engineering subject came with a paper "A Mathematical Theory of Communication" by Claude Shannon (1948).
- Shannon lived from 1916 to 2001, and spent most of his working career at Bell Labs and MIT.
- He also made fundamental contributions to cryptography and the design of computer circuitry in earlier work coming from his Ph.D. thesis.
- Other interests inventing gadgets, juggling, unicycles, chess(!)

Shannon's conceptual communication set-up



Examples

This is a *very general* framework, incorporating examples such as

- communication with deep space exploration craft (Mariner, Voyager, etc. – the most important early application)
- storing/retrieving information in computer memory
- storing/retrieving audio information (CDs)
- storing/rerieving video information (DVD and Blu-Ray disks)
- wireless communication

A main goal of coding theory is the design of coding schemes that achieve *error control*: ability to detect and correct errors in received messages.

The case we will look at

- We'll consider "linear block codes" vector subspaces C of \mathbb{F}_q^n for some n.
- parameters: $n, k = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C),$

$$d = \min_{x \neq y \in C} d(x, y) = \min_{x \neq 0 \in C} \operatorname{weight}(x)$$

(Hamming minimum distance/weight)

- t = L^{d-1}/₂ ⇒ all errors of weight ≤ t can be corrected by "nearest neighbor decoding"
- Good codes: k/n not too small (so not extremely redundant), but at same time d or d/n not too small.

Reed-Solomon codes

- Pick a primitive element α for 𝔽_q (i.e. generator of the cyclic multiplicative group of field), and write the nonzero elements of 𝔽_q as 1, α, ..., α^{q-2}.
- Let $L_k = \{ f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] : \deg f < k \}$. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} ev: L_k & \to & \mathbb{F}_q^{q-1} \\ f & \mapsto & (f(1), f(\alpha), \dots, f(\alpha^{q-2})) \end{array}$$

is linear and one-to-one if k < q. The image is called RS(k, q).

All *f* of degree < *k* have at most *k* − 1 roots in F_q (and some have exactly that many)

$$\Rightarrow d = (q-1) - (k-1) = n-k+1.$$

(Singleton bound: $d \le n - k + 1$.)

An example

Using the standard monomial basis for L_k :

$$\{1, x, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^{k-1}\}$$

The Reed-Solomon code RS(3, 16) (parameters: n = 15, k = 3, d = 13 over \mathbb{F}_{16} , so $16^3 = 4096$ distinct codewords) has generator matrix:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & \cdots & \alpha^7 & \alpha^8 & \cdots & \alpha^{14} \\ 1 & \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 & \cdots & \alpha^{14} & \alpha & \cdots & \alpha^{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

(means: the rows of G form a basis for C = RS(3, 16)).

How Reed-Solomon codes are used

- Reed-Solomon codes are among the most useful codes in engineering practice in situations where errors tend to occur in "bursts" rather than randomly.
- E.g., RS(3, 16) has d = 13, corrects any error vector of weight ≤ L¹³⁻¹/₂ = 6 in a received word over 𝔽₁₆ ≅ 𝔽⁴₂.
- A "burst" of up to 20 consecutive bit errors would affect at most 6 of the symbols of the message thought of as elements of 𝔽₁₆. RS(3, 16) can correct any 20 or fewer consecutive bit errors in a codeword.
- Also have very efficient algebraic decoding algorithms (Berlekamp-Massey, Euclidean (Sugiyama)).
- Basis for the error-control coding used, for example, in CD audio, deep-space exploration craft like *Voyager*, etc.

Toric code basics

Introduced by J. Hansen \sim 1997. Elementary description:

- Let *P* be an integral convex polytope in \mathbb{R}^m , $m \ge 1$.
- Points β in the finite set P ∩ Z^m correspond to monomials x^β (multi-index notation)
- Let $L_P = \operatorname{Span}\{x^{\beta} : \beta \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^m\}.$
- Then consider the toric evaluation map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} ev: L_{\mathcal{P}} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F}_q^{(q-1)^m} \\ f & \mapsto & (f(\gamma): \gamma \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^m) \end{array}$$

Image is the toric code $C_P(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

First example, and "generalized" toric codes

- Example: The Reed-Solomon code RS(k, q) is obtained with this construction by taking $P = [0, k - 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$, since $P \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, ..., k\}$ and $L_P = \text{Span}\{1, x, ..., x^{k-1}\}$.
- Can also do the same construction for any $S \subseteq P \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$
- Get subcodes of C_P(F_q) in this way; will denote them by C_S(F_q)
- Also very natural to consider these more general codes for several reasons (more on this later)

Why are they interesting?

- All $C_S(\mathbb{F}_q)$ have properties parallel to RS codes, e.g. they are "*m*-dimensional cyclic" codes (set of codewords is closed under a large automorphism group).
- Computer searches by L-, students, and most systematically and recently, Brown and Kasprzyk [BK] have showed that some very good m = 2 generalized toric codes exist (better than any previously known codes in standard databases).
- (No argument about this, here, I hope!) Can apply lots of nice algebraic geometry to study their properties (toric varieties, intersection theory, line bundles, Riemann-Roch theorems)

Best known codes from this construction

- an m = 2 generalized toric code over \mathbb{F}_8 with parameters [49, 8, 34] found by one group at MSRI-UP REU in 2009
- different m = 3 generalized toric codes over \mathbb{F}_5 with parameters [64, 8, 42] another group at MSRI-UP REU in 2009 and Alex Simao, HC '08
- Seven new "champions" over 𝔽₈ found by Brown and Kasprzyk, reported in [BK], apparently motivated by the following one L- found in 2011.
- With hindsight, all can be described in other ways too; toric construction gave a framework for finding them, though.

A typical "current champion"

Over \mathbb{F}_8 , take *S* given by filled circles ($P = \operatorname{conv}(S)$ shown):



Get a [49, 12, 28] code – best previously known for n = 49, k = 12 over \mathbb{F}_8 was d = 27.

How were these found?

- Nicest way to say it exhaustive ([BK]) and/or "heuristic" (L-, etc.) search through space of possible S
- Not very satisfying, though!
- There are general theoretical lower and upper bounds on d that apply to these codes (esp. work of D. Ruano, P. Beelen) but
- Not very easy to apply, and rarely sharp
- Need some additional tools to make progress!

A useful equivalence relation

Take $S \subset [0, q-2]^m \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_{q-1})^m$, so corresponding monomials are linearly independent as functions on $(\mathbb{F}_a^*)^m$.

Theorem

If
$$S' = T(S)$$
 for some $T = AGL(m, \mathbb{Z}_{q-1})$, $C_{S'}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is monomially equivalent to $C_S(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Monomial equivalence: There is an $n \times n$ permutation matrix Π and an $n \times n$ invertible diagonal matrix Q such that $G' = GQ\Pi$. This implies $d(C_S) = d(C_{S'})$.

Comments

Note: Even when we take $S = P \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ for a polytope, S' may not be $P' \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ for any P', so also *need to* study "generalized" toric codes from arbitrary S to make use of this idea.

[BK] uses this in a crucial way – idea was enumerate the affine equivalence classes of S contained in squares $[0, \ell] \times [0, \ell]$

There are also cases where $C_S(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $C_{S'}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ are monomially equivalent, but *S* and *S'* come from different affine equivalence classes. The implication in the theorem only goes the way stated.

Small needles in huge haystacks!

For m = 3, q = 5, the generating function for number of AGL(3, \mathbb{Z}_4)-orbits on *k*-sets in \mathbb{Z}_4^3 :

$$1 + x + 2x^{2} + 4x^{3} + 16x^{4} + 37x^{5} + 147x^{6} + 498x^{7} + 2128x^{8} + 8790x^{9} + 39055x^{10} + 165885x^{11} + 678826x^{12} + 2584627x^{13} + \cdots$$

The "middle term" here is $333347580600x^{32}(!)$

"Most" of these subsets give quite uninteresting codes. But one of the 2128 orbits of size k = 8 consists of codes with d = 42, the "champion" mentioned before.

From algebraic geometry

- As we saw earlier, a lattice polytope *P* defines an abstract toric variety X_P.
- Also get a line bundle L = L_P specified by P, with basis of sections given by monomials corresponding to the lattice points in P.
- Subsets of $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ correspond to subspaces of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$.
- Codewords come by evaluation, and the issue is: how many F_q-rational zeroes can a section have?
- In case m = 2, main results of [LS1] show that for q sufficiently large, d(C_P(F_q)) can be bounded above and below by looking at subpolytopes P' ⊆ P that decompose as *Minkowski sums*.

Intuition for proof

- Minkowski-reducible subpolygons ↔ reducible sections (Newton polygon of a product is Minkowski sum of Newton polygons of factors).
- Hasse-Weil upper and lower bounds for an irreducible curve *Y*:

 $|q+1-2p_a(Y)\sqrt{q} \leq |Y(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq q+1+2p_a(Y)\sqrt{q}$

- Using this, some intersection theory, and Riemann-Roch on the toric surface defined by *P*, [LS1] bounds number of *F_q*-rational points on any reducible section of *L_P* in (*F_q*^{*})² ⊂ *X_P*
- ⇒ when q > (a crude but explicit lower bound), reducible curves with more components must have more F_q-rational points than those with fewer components.

From lattice polytopes

- Idea was tightened and extended in [SS1] d for $C_P(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is connected with L(P) = full Minkowski length of P the maximum number of summands in a Minkowski sum decomposition $Q = Q_1 + \cdots + Q_L$ for $Q \subseteq P$.
- In [SS1], Soprunov and Soprunova showed that in the plane, every Minkowski-indecomposable polygon is lattice equivalent to either
 - (a) the unit lattice segment $conv\{(0,0), (1,0)\}$,
 - (b) the unit lattice simplex $conv\{(0,0), (1,0), (0,1)\}$, or
 - (c) the "exceptional triangle" $T_0 = conv\{(0,0), (1,2), (2,1)\}$

The Soprunov-Soprunova Theorem

Theorem (SS1)

If q is larger than an explicit (smaller than in [LS1]) lower bound depending on L(P) and the area of P, then

$$d(C_P(\mathbb{F}_q)) \geq (q-1)^2 - L(P)(q-1) - \lfloor 2\sqrt{q}
floor + 1,$$
 (1)

and if no maximally decomposable $Q \subset P$ contains an exceptional triangle, then

$$d(C_P(\mathbb{F}_q)) \ge (q-1)^2 - L(P)(q-1).$$
 (2)

An interesting polygon for $q \ge 5$



- *P* contains $P' = \text{conv}\{(1,0), (2,0), (1,2), (2,2)\}\$ (= $P_1 + P_2 + P_3$, P_i line segments) and $P'' = \text{conv}\{(1,0), (1,1), (3,2), (3,3)\}$ (similar).
- No other decomposable Q ⊂ P with more than three Minkowski summands

Reducible curves

Bounds from [LS1] or [SS1] \Rightarrow for *q* suff. large

$$d(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{F}_q)) \geq (q-1)^2 - 3(q-1).$$

From P' above, obtain reducible sections of L_P : s = x(x - a)(y - b)(y - c), with 3(q - 1) - 2 zeroes in $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ if $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $b \neq c$. Hence,

$$d(C_P(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq (q-1)^2 - 3(q-1) + 2.$$

Minimum distances over different fields

Magma computations (package written by D. Joyner) show:

$$\begin{array}{rll} d(C_{P}(\mathbb{F}_{5}))=6 & vs. & 4^{2}-3\cdot 4+2=6\\ d(C_{P}(\mathbb{F}_{7}))=20 & vs. & 6^{2}-3\cdot 6+2=20\\ d(C_{P}(\mathbb{F}_{8}))=28 & vs. & 7^{2}-3\cdot 7+2=30\\ d(C_{P}(\mathbb{F}_{9}))=42 & vs. & 8^{2}-3\cdot 8+2=42\\ d(C_{P}(\mathbb{F}_{11}))=72 & vs. & 10^{2}-3\cdot 10+2=72. \end{array}$$

More on q = 8

Where does a codeword with 49 - 28 = 21 zero entries come from? Magma: exactly 49 such words. One of them comes, for instance, from the evaluation of

$$y + x^3y^3 + x^2 \equiv y(1 + x^3y^2 + x^2y^6) \\ \equiv y(1 + x^3y^2 + (x^3y^2)^3)$$

Here congruences are mod $\langle x^7 - 1, y^7 - 1 \rangle$, the ideal of the \mathbb{F}_8 -rational points of the 2-dimensional torus. So $1 + x^3y^2 + (x^3y^2)^3$ has exactly the same zeroes in $(\mathbb{F}_8^*)^2$ as $y + x^3y^3 + x^2$.

Arithmetic of \mathbb{F}_8 matters!

 $1 + u + u^3$ is one of the two irreducible polynomials of degree 3 in $\mathbb{F}_2[u]$, hence

$$\mathbb{F}_8 \cong \mathbb{F}_2[u]/\langle 1+u+u^3\rangle.$$

If β is a root of $1 + u + u^3 = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_8 , then $1 + x^3y^2 + (x^3y^2)^3 =$

$$(x^3y^2 - \beta)(x^3y^2 - \beta^2)(x^3y^2 - \beta^4)$$

and there are exactly $3 \cdot 7 = 21$ points in $(\mathbb{F}_8^*)^2$ where this is zero. Still a sort of *reducibility* that produces a section with the largest number of zeroes here, even though the reducibility only appears when we look modulo the ideal $\langle x^7 - 1, y^7 - 1 \rangle$ (!). Similar phenomena in many other cases for small *q*.

Another Example: $P = conv\{(0,0), (2,0), (3,1), (1,4)\}$



Have L(P) = 4, and *P* contains just one Minkowski sum of 4 indecomposable polygons, namely the line segment $Q = conv\{(1,0), (1,4)\}$. Expect for *q* sufficiently large,

$$d(C_P(\mathbb{F}_q)) = (q-1)^2 - 4(q-1).$$

Leaving out lattice points

Now, study $C_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for *S* contained in *P* from before:



What happens? k = 7 only (not k = 10), and ...

Example, continued

$$\begin{array}{rcl} d(C_{S}(\mathbb{F}_{7})) = 18 & \text{vs.} & 6^{2} - 4 \cdot 6 = 12 \\ d(C_{S}(\mathbb{F}_{8})) = 33 & \text{vs.} & 7^{2} - 4 \cdot 7 = 21 \\ d(C_{S}(\mathbb{F}_{9})) = 32 & = & 8^{2} - 4 \cdot 8 = 32 \\ d(C_{S}(\mathbb{F}_{11})) = 70 & \text{vs.} & 10^{2} - 4 \cdot 10 = 60 \\ d(C_{S}(\mathbb{F}_{13})) = 96 & = & 12^{2} - 4 \cdot 12 = 96 \\ d(C_{S}(\mathbb{F}_{16})) = 165 & = & 15^{2} - 4 \cdot 15 = 165 \\ d(C_{S}(\mathbb{F}_{17})) = 192 & = & 16^{2} - 4 \cdot 16 = 192 \\ d(C_{S}(\mathbb{F}_{19})) = 270 & \text{vs.} & 18^{2} - 4 \cdot 18 = 252 \\ d(C_{S}(\mathbb{F}_{q})) & = & (q - 1)^{2} - 4(q - 1) & \text{all } q \geq 23(?) \end{array}$$

The minimum weight words

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{F}_q),$ so $\mathcal{d}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathbb{F}_q)) \geq \mathcal{d}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{F}_q))$ and
- Conjecture: d(C_P(𝔽_q)) = (q − 1)² − 4(q − 1) for all q ≥ 23. Evidence: SS Theorem implies ≥, but the C_P code contains the words ev(x(y⁴ + a₃y³ + a₂y² + a₁y + a₀)) for all a_i ∈ 𝔽_q.
- Some of those quartic polynomials factor

 (y − β₁) · · · (y − β₄) for β_j distinct ∈ 𝔽^{*}_q, so 4(q − 1) zeroes
 in (𝔽^{*}_q)².
- In F_q for q sufficiently large, there are also polynomials of the form y⁴ + a₁y + a₀ that factor this way; bounds not explicit enough to yield q ≥ 23, though!

Two ways to think about this ...

- First (the "glass is half-empty" point of view): leaving lattice points out of P ∩ Z^m is only likely to improve d dramatically for toric codes when q is small
- Second (the "glass is half-full" point of view): over larger fields, for many sets of lattice points S with conv(S) = P, can often include all of the lattice points in P ∩ Z^m and get toric codes of the same minimum distance and larger dimension

Taking toric codes "to the next dimension(s)"

- This whole general area has only started to be explored
- Intersection theory on higher-dimensional varieties is more subtle and not so obvious how to apply it
- Questions about polytopes and toric varieties in higher dimensions are also more subtle (e.g. classification of Minkowski-irreducible polytopes)
- Some preliminary work in [LS2] and [SS2]

A different approach

Square submatrices of the generator matrix *G* for a Reed-Solomon code are usual (one-variable) Vandermonde matrices:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \alpha^{j_1} & \alpha^{j_2} & \cdots & \alpha^{j_k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\alpha^{j_1})^{k-1} & (\alpha^{j_2})^{k-1} & \cdots & (\alpha^{j_k})^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

(Well-known and standard observation for studying these codes - implies the rows of *G* are linearly independent, for instance.)

General Vandermondes

- Let *P* be an integral convex polytope, and write $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^m = \{e(i) : 1 \le i \le \ell\}, \ \ell = |P \cap \mathbb{Z}^m|.$
- Let $S = \{p_j : 1 \le j \le \ell\}$ be any set of ℓ points in $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^m$.
- Picking orderings, define V(P; S), the Vandermonde matrix associated to P and S, to be the ℓ × ℓ matrix

$$V(P;S) = \left(p_j^{e(i)}\right),$$

where $p_j^{e(i)}$ is the value of the monomial $x^{e(i)}$ at the point p_j .

Other uses

Interestingly enough, the multivariate Vandermonde matrices have also made appearances in the study of

- multivariate polynomial interpolation
- polynomial equation solving
- Gröbner basis theory
- multipolynomial resultants

An Example

Let $P = \text{conv}\{(0,0), (2,0), (0,2)\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , and $S = \{(x_j, y_j)\}$ be any set of 6 points in $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$. For one particular choice of ordering of the lattice points in P, we have V(P; S) =

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 & y_5 & y_6 \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & x_3^2 & x_4^2 & x_5^2 & x_6^2 \\ x_1y_1 & x_2y_2 & x_3y_3 & x_4y_4 & x_5y_5 & x_6y_6 \\ y_1^2 & y_2^2 & y_3^2 & y_4^2 & y_5^2 & y_6^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Minimum Distance Theorem, [LS2]

Theorem

Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be an integral convex polytope. Let d be a positive integer and assume that in every set $T \subset (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^m$ with $|T| = (q-1)^m - (d-1)$ there exists some $S \subset T$ with $|S| = \ell$ such that det $V(P; S) \neq 0$. Then the minimum distance satisfies $d(C_P) \geq d$.

Proof: For all *S*, det $V(P; S) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ the homogeneous linear system obtained from the generator matrix, in columns corresponding to *S*, has only the trivial solution so there are no nonzero codewords with $(q-1)^m - (d-1)$ zero entries. Hence every nonzero codeword has $\geq d$ nonzero entries.

Codes from simplices

Consider $C_{P_{\ell}(m)}$ for $P_{\ell}(m)$ an *m*-dimensional simplex of the form

$$P_{\ell}(m) = \operatorname{conv}\{\mathbf{0}, \ell \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \ell \mathbf{e}_m\},\$$

where the \mathbf{e}_i are the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^m . (Corresponding toric variety is the degree ℓ Veronese embedding of \mathbb{P}^m . The corresponding Vandermonde matrices also arise in the study of multivariate interpolation using polynomials of bounded total degree.)

Need to identify *S* for which det($V(P_{\ell}(m); S)) \neq 0$.

Definition

If m = 1, an ℓ th order simplicial configuration is any collection of $\binom{1+\ell}{\ell}$ distinct points in \mathbb{F}_q^* . For $m \ge 2$, we will say that a collection S of $\binom{m+\ell}{\ell}$ points in $(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^m$ is an m-dimensional ℓ th order simplicial configuration if the following conditions hold:

• For some *i*,
$$1 \le i \le m$$
, there are hyperplanes $x_i = a_1, x_i = a_2, ..., x_i = a_{\ell+1}$ such that for each $1 \le j \le \ell + 1$, *S* contains exactly $\binom{m-1+j-1}{j-1}$ points with $x_i = a_j$.

② For each *j*, $1 \le j \le l + 1$, the points in $x_i = a_j$ form an (m - 1)-dimensional simplicial configuration of order *j* − 1.

Coding theory basics Toric codes Tools from the toric word Higher-dimensional polytopes and Vandermonde matrices

A "simplicial configuration" in $(\mathbb{F}_8^*)^2$ – "log plot".



John B. Little Toric Varieties in Coding Theory

Some observations

- Let S be an m-dimensional ℓth order simplicial configuration consisting of (^{m+ℓ}_ℓ) points, in hyperplanes x_m = a₁,..., x_m = a_{ℓ+1}.
- Write $S = S' \cup S''$ where S' is the union of the points in $x_i = a_1, \ldots, a_\ell$, and S'' is the set of points in $x_i = a_{\ell+1}$.
- Let $\pi : \mathbb{F}_q^m \to \mathbb{F}_q^{m-1}$ be the projection on the first m-1 coordinates.
- Both S' and π(S") are themselves simplicial configurations: S' dimension m and order ℓ − 1; π(S") dimension m − 1 and order ℓ.

A recurrence [LS2]

Theorem

Let $P_{\ell}(m)$ be as above and let *S* be an ℓ th order simplicial configuration of $\binom{m+\ell}{\ell}$ points. Then writing $p = (p_1, \ldots, p_m)$ for points $p \in (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^m$,

$$\det V(P_{\ell}(m); S) = \pm \prod_{p \in S'} (p_m - a_{\ell+1})$$

$$\cdot \det V(P_{\ell-1}(m); S')$$

$$\cdot \det V(P_{\ell}(m-1); \pi(S''))$$

(Suggested by a computation in a paper on multivariate interpolation by Chui and Lai – "poised sets" for interpolation by polynomials of degree bounded bounded by ℓ .)

Consequences

Corollary

Let $P_{\ell}(m)$ be as above and let *S* be an ℓ th order simplicial configuration of $\binom{m+\ell}{\ell}$ points. Then det $V(P_{\ell}(m); S) \neq 0$.

Theorem

Let $\ell < q - 1$, and let $P_{\ell}(m)$ be the simplex in \mathbb{R}^m defined above. Then the minimum distance of the toric code $C_{P_{\ell}(m)}$ is given by

$$d(C_{P_{\ell}(m)}) = (q-1)^m - \ell(q-1)^{m-1}.$$

The idea of the proof

The result on Vandermondes is used to show $d(C_{P_{\ell}(m)}) \ge (q-1)^m - \ell(q-1)^{m-1}.$

A pigeon-hole principle argument constructs simplicial configurations $S \subset T$ for every T with $|T| = \ell(q-1)^m + 1$.

Other inequality comes from reducibles $(x_m - a_1) \dots (x_m - a_\ell)$.

Summary

- Toric codes are interesting and accessible (even for undergraduate projects!)
- But the results on toric codes from simplices and parallelotopes show that *d* is often quite *small* relative to *k*.
- It is an interesting problem to determine criteria for polytopes (or subsets of the lattice points in a polytope) that yield good evaluation codes.

References for further study

- BK G. Brown and A. Kasprzyk, Seven new champion linear codes, LMS J. of Computation and Mathematics 16 (2013), 109-117.
- LS1 J. Little and H. Schenck *Toric codes and Minkowski sums*, SIAM J. of Discrete Math. **20** (2006), 999-1014.
- LS2 J. Little and R. Schwarz, *Toric Codes and Vandermonde matrices*, AAECC **18** (2007), 349-367.
- SS1 I. Soprunov and J. Soprunova *Toric surface codes and Minkowski length of polygons.* SIAM J. Discrete Math. 23 (2009), 384-400.
- SS2 I. Soprunov and J. Soprunova, *Bringing toric codes to the next dimension*. SIAM J. Discrete Math. 24 (2010), 655-665.