

Outline

MSRI-UP 2009 Coding Theory Seminar, Week 2

John B. Little

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
College of the Holy Cross

June 22 - 25, 2009

Cyclic Codes – Polynomial Algebra

More on cyclic codes

Finite fields

BCH codes – “designer cyclic codes”

The definition

- Many codes that are used in practice have even more algebraic structure than the linear codes we have already seen.
- The *cyclic shift* $\pi : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is the linear mapping defined by

$$\pi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = (x_n, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

- **Definition**

A linear code C is said to be a **cyclic code** if $\pi(C) = C$ (that is, if the cyclic shift of every codeword is another codeword).

- Note: cyclic codes can be specified with less information.

Link to polynomials

- To study cyclic codes, we will introduce a *polynomial form* for the codewords:
- Given $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$, we consider the polynomial $u(x) = u_1 + u_2x + \dots + u_nx^{n-1}$.
- Why do we do this?
- Mainly because the cyclic shift seems to be closely related to basic important operations on polynomials:
- The “ordinary” shift corresponds to $x \cdot u(x) = u_1x + \dots + u_{n-1}x^{n-1} + u_nx^n$.
- Then, to “cycle” the u_nx^n back to the start, we could divide by $1 + x^n$ and take the remainder.

Algebra of polynomials

Notes

Definition

The set of all polynomials in the variable x with coefficients in a field \mathbb{F} is denoted $\mathbb{F}[x]$.

- We can add and multiply polynomials in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ as usual.
- All the field axioms hold *except* that some nonzero polynomials have no multiplicative inverses.
- We say $\mathbb{F}[x]$ is a *commutative ring with (multiplicative) identity*.

Polynomial division

Notes

Another very important aspect of the algebra of polynomials is the existence of a *division algorithm* for polynomials.

Theorem (Division Algorithm)

Let $f(x)$ and $g(x) \neq 0$ be in $\mathbb{F}[x]$. There exist unique polynomials $q(x)$ (“quotient”) and $r(x)$ (“remainder”) such that $f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x)$ and either $r(x) = 0$ or $\deg(r(x)) < \deg(g(x))$.

If $r(x)$ is the remainder on division of $f(x)$ by $g(x)$, we will write $f(x) \equiv r(x) \pmod{g(x)}$.

Division algorithm

Notes

The proof of the existence part of the theorem consists of the usual polynomial *long division* process from high school algebra. In “procedural notation:”

```

input: f, g
output: q, r
r:=f; q:=0;
while deg(g) <= deg(r) do
  q := q + in(r)/in(g);
  r := r - (in(r)/in(g))g;
end while

```

(where $\text{in}(p)$ is the highest degree term in p)

Division example

Notes

Take $g(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ and $f(x) = x^7 + x^6 + x^4 + 1$ and divide g into f (taking coefficients in $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ – it’s amazing how simple the computations are in this case!)

[Work out on board]

The results are $q(x) = x^5 + x^3 + x + 1$ and $r = 0$. Note that this says g divides f in this case:

$$x^7 + x^6 + x^4 + 1 = (x^5 + x^3 + x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1).$$

We write $g|f$ for the assertion “ g divides f ” (or equivalently $f = qg$ for some $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$).

A consequence of division

Notes

Theorem

Let $I \subset \mathbb{F}[x]$ be any subset with the properties that

- $f, g \in I \Rightarrow f + g \in I$, and
- $f \in I, q \in \mathbb{F}[x] \Rightarrow qf \in I$

Then $I = \langle g \rangle = \{qg \mid q \in \mathbb{F}[x]\}$ for some $g \in I$.

(Such sets are called *ideals* in the ring $\mathbb{F}[x]$ in abstract algebra; the theorem claims that any such set is generated by a single polynomial $g(x)$.)

Proof of the theorem

Notes

Proof:

- If $I = \{0\}$, then we can take $g = 0$.
- If I contains nonzero polynomials, let g be any nonzero polynomial in I of *minimal degree*.
- If $f \in I$ is any other polynomial, divide f into g to yield $f = qg + r$ where either $r = 0$, or r is nonzero with $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$.
- We claim that the second case cannot happen.
- Rearranging gives $r = f - qg \in I$ since $f, g \in I$.
- If $r \neq 0$, then we get a contradiction to the choice of g . \square

Polynomial gcds

Notes

Definition

Let $f, g \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. We say $d = \gcd(f, g)$ if d is a polynomial with leading coefficient 1 such that

- $d|f$, $d|g$, and
- if c is any other polynomial with $c|f$ and $c|g$, then $c|d$.

(Equivalently, we could require d to be a nonzero divisor of maximal degree.)

More on polynomial gcds

Notes

- The polynomial $d = \gcd(f, g)$ is *unique* (because of the requirement on the leading coefficient).
- $d = \gcd(f, g)$ can be computed by *factoring* $f, g \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ and finding the highest common factor.
- There is also a more efficient method called the *Euclidean algorithm*, based on division, that we will discuss next.

Euclidean algorithm for the gcd

Notes

- (Assuming $\deg(f) \geq \deg(g)$), perform divisions as indicated, until $r_m | r_{m-1}$:

$$f = q_0g + r_1$$

$$g = q_1r_1 + r_2$$

$$r_1 = q_2r_2 + r_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{m-2} = q_{m-1}r_{m-1} + r_m$$

$$r_{m-1} = q_m r_m + 0$$

- Note that the degrees of the r_i are strictly decreasing.
- The *last nonzero remainder* r_m is $\gcd(f, g)$.

First Euclidean algorithm example

Notes

Take $f(x) = x^4 + x^2 + x + 1$ and $g(x) = x^3 + x^2$. What is $\gcd(f, g)$? We form the remainder sequence as on the last slide:

$$x^4 + x^2 + x + 1 = (x + 1)(x^3 + x^2) + x + 1$$

$$x^3 + x^2 = x^2(x + 1) + 0.$$

Hence the final nonzero remainder in this case is the first one, $d = x + 1$. We can see here that $f = (x^3 + x^2 + 1)(x + 1)$ and $g = (x^2)(x + 1)$ so $x + 1$ is a common divisor. Moreover, the only divisors of $x + 1$ are $1, x + 1$, so $x + 1$ must be the gcd.

Another characterization of the gcd

Notes

The easiest way to explain why the Euclidean algorithm works is to use another characterization of the gcd.

Proposition

Let $f, g \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. Then $\gcd(f, g)$ is the nonzero polynomial of least degree (and leading coefficient 1) in the set:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \{Af + Bg \mid A, B \in \mathbb{F}[x]\}.$$

(In particular, the polynomial $d = \gcd(f, g) = Af + Bg$ for some $A, B \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. We will see a way to find such polynomials A, B later.)

Why does the Euclidean algorithm work?

Notes

Sketch of argument:

- The set $\langle f, g \rangle$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ as in our previous theorem.
- From the remainder sequence, it is easy to see that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle g, r_1 \rangle = \cdots = \langle r_m, 0 \rangle = \langle r_m \rangle.$$

- Hence $r_m = \gcd(f, g)$.

Generator polynomial of a cyclic code

Notes

We now return to the case of a cyclic code and consider the set of all polynomials corresponding to the codewords.

Definition

Let C be a cyclic code. The **generator polynomial** of C is the (unique) nonzero polynomial of smallest degree in C .

(The uniqueness is a consequence of taking $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$; we get something similar in general if we require the leading coefficient to be 1.)

The proof that polynomial ideals are generated by a single polynomial shows that $qg \bmod x^n + 1$ represents a codeword for all $q \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$.

Consequences

Notes

Theorem

Let g be the generator polynomial for a cyclic code C , and assume that $\deg(g) = n - k$.

- $g(x)$ divides $x^n + 1$.
- $u(x)$ is a codeword if and only if $u(x) = q(x)g(x)$ for some $q(x)$ of degree $< k$.
- The codewords corresponding to $\{g(x), xg(x), x^2g(x), \dots, x^{k-1}g(x)\}$ form a basis for C , so $\dim(C) = k$.

Proof: The second part follows by division; the third follows since these polynomials have distinct degrees, hence must be linearly independent.

Proof, continued

Notes

- We must show that if C is a cyclic code with generator polynomial $g(x)$, then $g(x) \mid x^n + 1$.
- The codewords all have the form $u(x) = q(x)g(x) \pmod{x^n + 1}$, so for each $u(x)$ we have an equation $u(x) = q(x)g(x) + s(x)(x^n + 1)$ for some $s(x)$.
- But we can write any $u(x)$ as a multiple of $g(x)$, and this implies $g(x) \mid (x^n + 1)$ as claimed. \square

Smallest cyclic code

Notes

Given $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, we can ask: What is the smallest cyclic code containing u ? The answer comes by looking for the generator polynomial of the code –

- It must be a polynomial that divides both $u(x)$ and $x^n + 1$,
- It must have maximum possible degree among polynomials satisfying the first condition (to get the *smallest* code)
- Hence, we get

$$g(x) = \gcd(u(x), x^n + 1).$$

- Hence we can find $g(x)$ by the Euclidean algorithm.

An example

Notes

Find the generator polynomial for the smallest cyclic code containing the word 101100 ($n = 6$).

- We want $\gcd(1 + x^2 + x^3, 1 + x^6)$.
- Forming the remainder sequence,

$$x^6 + 1 = (x^3 + x^2 + x)(x^3 + x^2 + 1) + (x^2 + x + 1)$$

$$x^3 + x^2 + 1 = (x)(x^2 + x + 1) + x + 1$$

$$x^2 + x + 1 = (x)(x + 1) + 1.$$

- Hence $\gcd(1 + x^2 + x^3, 1 + x^6) = 1$ (we say the polynomials are *relatively prime*).
- It follows that $g = 1$, so $C = \mathbb{F}_2^6$ is the “trivial” code consisting of all words of length 6.

Example, concluded

Notes

We can also see the last statement here as follows.

- If C is cyclic, then all the cyclic shifts of $u = 101100$ must also be in C .
- In particular, these words are all in C :

$$u = 101100, u + \pi(u) = 111010, u + \pi^2(u) = 100111,$$

and

$$\pi^3(u + \pi^2(u)) = 111100.$$

- Hence $111010 + 111100 = 000110 \in C$
- Hence $\pi^5(000110) = 001100 \in C$
- So, finally, $u + 001100 = 100000 \in C$.

Generator matrices for cyclic codes

Notes

Today we will continue our study of cyclic codes by considering

- generator matrices for these codes,
- parity-check matrices for these codes,
- how to construct all cyclic codes of a given block length n .

Generator matrices

Notes

From our theorem at the end of class yesterday, we know that if g is a generator polynomial for a cyclic code C , and $\deg(g) = n - k$ then

$$\{g(x), xg(x), \dots, x^{k-1}g(x)\}$$

is a basis for C . This gives a way to write down a generator matrix for C immediately – we simply take the vector of coefficients of g , make that the first row in G , then shift the first row to get the other rows.

Generator matrix example

Notes

Let C be the cyclic code with block length $n = 7$ and generator polynomial $g(x) = x^3 + x^2 + 1$ (check

$$x^7 + 1 = (x^3 + x^2 + 1)(x^3 + x + 1)(x + 1),$$

so that $g(x)|(x^7 + 1)$. Then

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Note $k = 4$ since $n = 7$ and $\deg(g) = 3$. Also, note how the first row determines the rest of the matrix – we don't actually need the whole matrix in this case!)

Parity-check matrices

Notes

- H for a cyclic code can be constructed by the method we discussed last week (Algorithm 2.5.7).
- However, that method does not use the fact that the code is cyclic, so it does not show an important pattern.
- By results from yesterday, $w(x)$ represents a codeword of C with generator $g(x)$ if and only if $g(x)|w(x)$.
- Compute $r_i = x^i \bmod g(x)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ and put the vectors of coefficients of r_i as rows in an $n \times n - k$ matrix H .
- Then $a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ is a codeword if and only if $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})H = 0$. It follows that H is a parity-check matrix for C .

Parity-check matrix example

Notes

Let C be the cyclic code from before with $g(x) = 1 + x^2 + x^3$ and $n = 7$.

- We compute

$$1 \bmod g(x) = 1,$$

$$x \bmod g(x) = x,$$

$$x^2 \bmod g(x) = x^2,$$

$$x^3 \bmod g(x) = x^2 + 1,$$

$$x^4 \bmod g(x) = x^2 + x + 1,$$

$$x^5 \bmod g(x) = x + 1,$$

$$x^6 \bmod g(x) = x^2 + x.$$

Notes

- Hence as on the last slide:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Does this matrix look familiar (perhaps after permuting the rows)??

Comments on this example

Notes

- Note that the 7 rows of the matrix H are all the nonzero vectors of length $n - k = 3$.
- Hence, this cyclic code is a *Hamming* $[7, 4, 3]$ code.
- The codeword entries are ordered differently than we saw before, though.
- In general, two codes C and C' are said to be *equivalent* if there is some fixed permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ that gives a 1-1 correspondence between words in C and words in C' .
- Equivalent codes have the same n, k, d , so they are in effect equivalent for most coding-theoretic purposes.

Finding all cyclic codes of length n

Notes

Now we turn to the problem of determining all cyclic codes of a given length. Equivalently, we need to understand the factorization of $x^n + 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$, since a generator polynomial must be a divisor of $x^n + 1$.

With $g(x) = 1$, we obtain the code containing all words in \mathbb{F}_2^n . On the other hand, if $g(x) = 0$, then we obtain the code containing only the zero word. Both of these are cyclic codes, but rather *uninteresting ones*(!) We will say a cyclic code is *proper* if it is *not* one of these.

Finding cyclic codes by factorization

Notes

- Over \mathbb{F}_2 , since $2 = 0$, we have the “first year student’s dream” factorization $a^2 + b^2 = (a + b)^2$.
- Hence if $n = 2^r s$, where s is odd, then

$$1 + x^{2^r s} = 1^{2^r} + (x^s)^{2^r} = (1 + x^s)^{2^r},$$

and all the *interesting stuff* is going to come from the factorization of $1 + x^s$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$.

• Proposition

If $n = 2^r s$ with s odd, and $1 + x^s$ has z irreducible factors, then there are $(2^r + 1)^z$ cyclic codes of length n .

Example: $n = 10$

Notes

- We have $10 = 2 \cdot 5$, so in our general formula, $r = 1$ and $s = 5$.
- The polynomial $x^5 + 1 = (x + 1)(x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1)$ and we can see the second factor is *irreducible* – it has no roots in \mathbb{F}_2 , so no linear factors, and $(x^2 + ax + 1)(x^2 + bx + 1) = x^4 + (a + b)x^3 + abx^2 + (a + b)x + 1$ so $a = b = 1$ from coefficient of x^2 – but this does not work.
- Hence there are $3^2 = 9$ factors:
- Write $p = x + 1$, $q = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$. Then the factors are $1, p, p^2, q, pq, p^2q, q^2, pq^2, p^2q^2$.

Another method – idempotents

Notes

- We will conclude today’s lecture by discussing another method for finding all cyclic codes of length n . For simplicity now we restrict to the case n odd.
- Main reason for this is a connection with our next topic (general finite fields), but this method is also somewhat simpler than factorization of $x^n + 1$.
- **Theorem**
*Every cyclic code contains a unique **idempotent** polynomial $I(x)$ satisfying $(I(x))^2 \equiv I(x) \pmod{x^n + 1}$. $I(x)$ generates the code.*

Proof of the theorem

Notes

Proof:

- Let $g(x)$ be the generator polynomial and let $g(x)h(x) = x^n + 1$. Then $\gcd(g(x), h(x)) = 1$ (this is where the hypothesis n odd is used).
- By the facts about polynomial ideals and the Euclidean algorithm, there exist $A(x), B(x)$ such that $A(x)g(x) + B(x)h(x) = 1$.
- Multiply by $A(x)g(x)$:
 $(A(x)g(x))^2 + A(x)B(x)(x^n + 1) = A(x)g(x)$.
- This implies $(A(x)g(x))^2 \equiv A(x)g(x) \pmod{x^n + 1}$. So we take $I(x) = A(x)g(x)$.
- $I(x)$ generates C since $\gcd(I(x), x^n + 1) = g(x)$. \square

Properties of idempotents

Notes

- If I, J are idempotents, then so are $I + J$ (by the “first-year student’s dream”) and IJ .
- Let $C_j = \{2^i j \bmod n \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ (called the *cyclotomic coset* of $j \bmod n$),
- Then C_j is finite (e.g. C_3 for $n = 7$ is $C_3 = \{3, 6, 5\}$).
- The polynomial $l_j = \sum_{k \in C_j} x^k$ is idempotent. (Example: $(x^3 + x^6 + x^5)^2 = x^6 + x^{12} + x^{10} \equiv x^6 + x^5 + x^3 \pmod{x^7 + 1}$.)
- Hence we can construct an idempotent for each cyclotomic coset mod n , then take linear combinations. Fact: These are all the idempotents, hence all the cyclic codes of length n .

An example

Notes

Question: What are all the cyclic codes of length $n = 21$?

- The distinct cyclotomic cosets mod 21 are

$$C_0 = \{0\}$$

$$C_1 = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 11\} (= C_2 = \dots = C_{11})$$

$$C_3 = \{3, 6, 12\}$$

$$C_5 = \{5, 10, 20, 19, 17, 13\}$$

$$C_7 = \{7, 14\}$$

$$C_9 = \{9, 18, 15\}$$

- Corresponding idempotents: $l_0 = 1$,
 $l_1 = x + x^2 + x^4 + x^8 + x^{16} + x^{11}$, etc.

Example, concluded

Notes

- Then, any linear combination

$$l = a_0 l_0 + a_1 l_1 + a_3 l_3 + a_5 l_5 + a_7 l_7 + a_9 l_9$$

with $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ is also an idempotent.

- The generator polynomials for the corresponding cyclic codes can be found by computing $\gcd(l(x), x^{21} + 1)$.
- For instance, the code with $l(x) = l_1(x) + l_9(x)$ has

$$g(x) = x^{17} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{10} + x^8 + x^7 + x^3 + x + 1.$$

(The actual computations are somewhat tedious, though, so we will stop here!)

Introduction

Notes

So far, we have only considered the finite field \mathbb{F}_2 and studied codes over \mathbb{F}_2 . The next steps we will take involve:

- Using the algebra larger finite fields \mathbb{F}_{2^r} to construct “designer” cyclic codes with minimum distance as large as we like.
- Using the larger finite fields themselves as alphabets for codes. The main examples we will study here are the *Reed-Solomon codes*, a very important family with interesting properties and many practical applications.

Irreducible polynomials

Notes

- Irreducible polynomials are analogous to primes in \mathbb{Z} .

- **Definition**

A nonconstant polynomial $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is said to be **irreducible** in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ if the only divisors of $h(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ are 1 and $h(x)$ itself.

- The definition above needs to be modified slightly if the coefficient field of the polynomials includes nonzero scalars other than 1 – in that case, the nonzero constants are also allowed as divisors (as are the nonzero constants times $h(x)$).
- Fact: $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ contains irreducible polynomials of all degrees $r \geq 1$ (see this week’s Discussions).

Examples of irreducibles

Notes

- degree 1: $x + 1$
- degree 2: $x^2 + x + 1$
- degree 3: $x^3 + x + 1$, $x^3 + x^2 + 1$
- degree 4: $x^4 + x + 1$, $x^4 + x^3 + 1$, $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$
- degree 5: six of them
- There are rather extensive tables of these in the literature.

Residues modulo a polynomial

Notes

- The remainders modulo a polynomial $h(x)$ have natural sum and product operations coming from the sum and product on polynomials
- Sum: $(a(x) \bmod h(x)) + (b(x) \bmod h(x)) = (a(x) + b(x)) \bmod h(x)$.
- Product: $(a(x) \bmod h(x))(b(x) \bmod h(x)) = (a(x)b(x)) \bmod h(x)$.
- For *all* $h(x)$, the corresponding remainders (“residue classes”) form a commutative ring with identity, called $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle h(x) \rangle$.
- If $h(x)$ is *not irreducible*, though, this ring will not be a field, because it has *zero divisors*. If $h(x) = a(x)b(x)$ with neither constant, then $(a(x)b(x)) \bmod h(x) = 0$ but $a(x) \not\equiv 0 \bmod h(x)$ and $b(x) \not\equiv 0 \bmod h(x)$.

Fields from irreducible polynomials

Notes

Theorem

Let $h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ be irreducible. Then the residue class ring $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle h(x) \rangle$ is a field. If $\deg(h(x)) = r$, then

$$|\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle h(x) \rangle| = 2^r.$$

This will be clear in the examples we use, so we omit the complete proof. The claim about the order of the field follows by counting the possible remainders on division by $h(x)$:

$$a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{r-1}x^{r-1}.$$

There are 2^r such since $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ all i .

An example – a field with 8 elements

Notes

Let $h(x) = x^3 + x + 1$, which is one of the irreducibles of degree 3 in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$. By the theorem, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle x^3 + x + 1 \rangle$ is a field with 8 elements. Let us describe its structure by giving addition and multiplication tables. The 8 elements of \mathbb{F} are

$$\mathbb{F} = \{0, 1, x, x + 1, x^2, x^2 + 1, x^2 + x, x^2 + x + 1\}$$

The sum operation is easy (just add coefficients mod 2). For instance:

$$(x^2 + x + 1) + (x) = x^2 + 1.$$

The full table is given on the next slide.

The addition table

Notes

For typographical reasons, we write $a = x^2 + x$, $b = x^2 + x + 1$ to save space!

+	0	1	x	x + 1	x ²	x ² + 1	a	b
0	0	1	x	x + 1	x ²	x ² + 1	a	b
1	1	0	x + 1	x	x ² + 1	x ²	b	a
x	x	x + 1	0	1	a	b	x ²	x ² + 1
x + 1	x + 1	x	1	0	b	a	x ² + 1	x ²
x ²	x ²	x ² + 1	a	b	0	1	x	x + 1
x ² + 1	x ² + 1	x ²	b	a	1	0	x + 1	x
a	a	b	x ²	x ² + 1	x	x + 1	0	1
b	b	a	x ² + 1	x ²	x + 1	x	1	0

An observation

Notes

Before we write the multiplication table, let us notice one important pattern about the multiplication operation. Let's take powers of $x \bmod x^3 + x + 1$ and see what happens:

$$1 \bmod h(x) = 1,$$

$$x \bmod h(x) = x,$$

$$x^2 \bmod h(x) = x^2,$$

$$x^3 \bmod h(x) = x + 1,$$

$$x^4 \bmod h(x) = x^2 + x,$$

$$x^5 \bmod h(x) = x^2 + x + 1,$$

$$x^6 \bmod h(x) = x^2 + 1,$$

$$x^7 \bmod h(x) = 1.$$

Observation, continued

Notes

Note what happened here – the powers of x give all the nonzero elements of \mathbb{F} .

- This means that the multiplicative group of \mathbb{F} is cyclic, generated by x .
- x is called a *primitive element* of \mathbb{F} .
- This says, among other things, that the multiplication table will be easy to write out if we represent each nonzero element as a power of x (!)

The multiplication table

Notes

Using the observation,

\cdot	0	1	x	x^2	x^3	x^4	x^5	x^6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	x	x^2	x^3	x^4	x^5	x^6
x	0	x	x^2	x^3	x^4	x^5	x^6	1
x^2	0	x^2	x^3	x^4	x^5	x^6	1	x
x^3	0	x^3	x^4	x^5	x^6	1	x	x^2
x^4	0	x^4	x^5	x^6	1	x	x^2	x^3
x^5	0	x^5	x^6	1	x	x^2	x^3	x^4
x^6	0	x^6	1	x	x^2	x^3	x^4	x^5

The “big theorem” on finite fields

Notes

Theorem

- There are fields of all orders 2^r , $r \geq 1$.
- Every finite field of order 2^r has primitive elements ($\phi(2^r - 1)$ of them, in fact – Euler ϕ -function).
- Every nonzero element of a field of order 2^r satisfies the polynomial equation $z^{2^r-1} + 1 = 0$.
- Any two fields of order 2^r are **isomorphic**, so we will write \mathbb{F}_{2^r} to denote the field of order 2^r .
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^r} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^s}$ if and only if $r|s$.

An example

Notes

The proof is not especially difficult, but it would take us too far afield, so we omit it. Instead, we illustrate what it is saying by considering fields of size $16 = 2^4$. Let us start by using the irreducible $h(x) = x^4 + x + 1$ to construct the field. The elements are the remainders on division by $h(x)$, so

$$a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3$$

for all choices of $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$.

x is primitive

Notes

Compute the powers of $x \bmod h(x)$:

$$1 \equiv 1 \bmod h(x) \quad x^8 \equiv x^2 + 1 \bmod h(x)$$

$$x \equiv x \bmod h(x) \quad x^9 \equiv x^3 + x \bmod h(x)$$

$$x^2 \equiv x^2 \bmod h(x) \quad x^{10} \equiv x^2 + x + 1 \bmod h(x)$$

$$x^3 \equiv x^3 \bmod h(x) \quad x^{11} \equiv x^3 + x^2 + x \bmod h(x)$$

$$x^4 \equiv x + 1 \bmod h(x) \quad x^{12} \equiv x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 \bmod h(x)$$

$$x^5 \equiv x^2 + x \bmod h(x) \quad x^{13} \equiv x^3 + x^2 + 1 \bmod h(x)$$

$$x^6 \equiv x^3 + x^2 \bmod h(x) \quad x^{14} \equiv x^3 + 1 \bmod h(x)$$

$$x^7 \equiv x^3 + x + 1 \bmod h(x) \quad x^{15} \equiv 1 \bmod h(x).$$

The polynomial $z^{15} + 1$

Notes

- The previous computation shows x is primitive and $x^{15} = 1$, so $x^{15} + 1 = 0$.
- Since every nonzero element of the field is a power of x , this means that every nonzero element of the field also satisfies the polynomial equation $z^{15} + 1 = 0$.
- If we *factor* $z^{15} + 1$ in $\mathbb{F}_2[z]$, we see something interesting:

$$z^{15} + 1 = (z+1)(z^2+z+1)(z^4+z^3+z^2+z+1)(z^4+z+1)(z^4+z^3+1).$$
- That is, the factors are all the irreducibles of degree 1, 2, 4 (the divisors of 4).
- This fact actually implies the last two parts of the theorem as well.

Example, continued

Notes

- \mathbb{F}_{2^4} contains roots of $z^4 + z^3 + 1$ and $z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z + 1$ as well. We would actually have obtained an isomorphic field starting from any one of the three irreducibles of degree 4.
- The factors $z + 1$ and $z^2 + z + 1$ have roots $1 \in \mathbb{F}_2$, and the elements of \mathbb{F}_{2^2} not contained in \mathbb{F}_2 , respectively. Hence we have “copies” of $\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_{2^2}$ sitting as subfields of \mathbb{F}_{2^4} .
- **Caution:** It is *not always the case* that a root of an irreducible $h(x)$ of degree r is *primitive* for the field \mathbb{F}_{2^r} . The roots of the degree 4 polynomial $z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z + 1$ are 5th roots of unity (so not *primitive* 15th roots of 1).

Minimal polynomial of an element

Notes

- We will need the following notion.
- **Definition**
*Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{2^r}$. The **minimal polynomial** of α over \mathbb{F}_2 is the nonzero polynomial of smallest degree in $\mathbb{F}_2[z]$ having α as a root.*
- **Proposition**
The minimal polynomial of an element α is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$.
 - **Proof:** If $m(z) = f(z)g(z)$ and $m(\alpha) = 0$, then either $f(\alpha) = 0$ or $g(\alpha) = 0$. \square

Example

Notes

In \mathbb{F}_{2^4} constructed with $h(x) = x^4 + x + 1$ as above:

- The element 1 has minimal polynomial $z + 1$
- The elements x^5, x^{10} have minimal polynomial $z^2 + z + 1$
- The elements x, x^2, x^4, x^8 have minimal polynomial $z^4 + z + 1$
- The elements $x^7, x^{14}, x^{13}, x^{11}$ have minimal polynomial $z^4 + z^3 + 1$
- The elements x^3, x^6, x^{12}, x^9 have minimal polynomial $z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z + 1$

Example, concluded

Notes

For instance, if $z = x^7 = x^3 + x + 1$ (from before), then

$$z^4 + z^3 + 1 = x^{13} + x^6 + 1 = (x^3 + x^2 + 1) + (x^3 + x^2) + 1 = 0.$$

The other roots of this irreducible $z^4 + z^3 + 1$ come from a *cyclotomic coset* mod 15 as we discussed yesterday!

$C_7 = \{7, 14, 13, 11\}$. Can you see why?

Introduction – BCH codes

Notes

It is possible to make cyclic codes with any d provided n is large enough. We will see one construction today, the so-called BCH codes. The name comes from the names of the original developers of these codes – Bose, Chaudhuri, and Hocquenghem.

To “warm up” for the BCH codes, we return to the cyclic Hamming code we saw a couple of days ago.

Cyclic Hamming codes

Notes

Theorem

Let $h(x)$ be a primitive irreducible polynomial of degree r (that is, the roots of $h(x)$ are primitive elements of the field $\mathbb{F}_{2^r} = \mathbb{F}_2[x]/\langle h(x) \rangle$). Then the cyclic code C of length $n = 2^r - 1$ with **generator polynomial** $h(x)$ is a $[2^r - 1, 2^r - r - 1, 3]$ Hamming code.

Proof: Write $\alpha = x$ in the field \mathbb{F}_{2^r} . The powers of α give all the nonzero elements of the field.

Proof, continued

Notes

$u(x)$ is a codeword if and only if $h(x) \mid u(x)$, which is true if and only if $u(\alpha) = 0$. So if we write $u = u_0 + u_1x + \cdots + u_{2^r-2}x^{2^r-2}$, then

$$(u_0 \ u_1 \ \dots \ u_{2^r-2}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{2^r-2} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Rewrite the entries in the column using the expansions of α^j in terms of $1, x, \dots, x^{r-1}$. The resulting $2^r - 1 \times r$ matrix is a parity check matrix for C , and its rows are all the nonzero vectors of length r in some order. \square

Generalizing to get larger d

Notes

- We will present a construction of BCH codes with minimum distance $d \geq 5$ (actually $= 5$ with a bit more work), length $n = 2^r - 1$ and $k = 2^r - 2r - 1$. You will see how to get $d \geq \delta$ for any $\delta = 2t + 1$ in the Discussion today.
- Let α be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^r} , let $m_1(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of α , and let $m_3(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of α^3 .
- Let $g(x) = m_1(x)m_3(x)$ be the generator polynomial for a cyclic code of length $n = 2^r - 1$.
- We claim that C has $d \geq 5$.

Some first observations

Notes

- First, note that the roots of $m_1(x)$ contain $\alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^4$ (powers contained in the cyclotomic coset C_1). Hence the roots of $g(x)$ contain $\alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \alpha^4$ (a consecutive string of 4 powers of α).
- Hence the polynomial form of every codeword of C has roots containing the consecutive string $\alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \alpha^4$.
- This means that each codeword $u = (u_0 \ u_1 \ \cdots \ u_{2^r-2})$ satisfies the equation $u\tilde{H} = 0$ where \tilde{H} is the $(2^r - 1) \times 4$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_{2^r} on the next page.

The matrix \tilde{H}

Notes

$$\tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^4 \\ \alpha^2 & (\alpha^2)^2 & (\alpha^3)^2 & (\alpha^4)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha^{2^r-2} & (\alpha^2)^{2^r-2} & (\alpha^3)^{2^r-2} & (\alpha^4)^{2^r-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

More on the matrix \tilde{H}

Notes

- \tilde{H} is *not* a parity-check matrix according to our definitions (entries not in \mathbb{F}_2 , and if we replace each α^i by a vector in \mathbb{F}_2^r , the columns will be linearly dependent).
- But, the characterization of d in terms of linearly independent sets of the rows extends to this case.
- **Proposition**
If all sets of 4 rows of \tilde{H} are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_{2^r} , then $d \geq 5$.
 - **Proof:** If $d \leq 4$, then there is some codeword of weight 4, which gives a linear dependence on a set of 4 rows in \tilde{H} . \square

A special determinant

Notes

So consider the determinant of the 4×4 submatrix of \tilde{H} in rows $1 \leq i < j < \ell < m \leq 2^r - 1$:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^i & (\alpha^2)^i & (\alpha^3)^i & (\alpha^4)^i \\ \alpha^j & (\alpha^2)^j & (\alpha^3)^j & (\alpha^4)^j \\ \alpha^\ell & (\alpha^2)^\ell & (\alpha^3)^\ell & (\alpha^4)^\ell \\ \alpha^m & (\alpha^2)^m & (\alpha^3)^m & (\alpha^4)^m \end{pmatrix}$$

Special determinant, continued

Notes

Using standard properties of determinants, we can factor α^i out of row 1, α^j out of row 2, etc. leaving

$$= \alpha^{i+j+\ell+m} \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha^i & (\alpha^i)^2 & (\alpha^i)^3 \\ 1 & \alpha^j & (\alpha^j)^2 & (\alpha^j)^3 \\ 1 & \alpha^\ell & (\alpha^\ell)^2 & (\alpha^\ell)^3 \\ 1 & \alpha^m & (\alpha^m)^2 & (\alpha^m)^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Special determinant, continued

Notes

- We see that this is a 4×4 Vandermonde matrix (possibly transpose, depending on how you define Vandermondes).
- General form is

$$V(a, b, c, d) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & a^2 & a^3 \\ 1 & b & b^2 & b^3 \\ 1 & c & c^2 & c^3 \\ 1 & d & d^2 & d^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Vandermonde determinant

Notes

- We claim
 $V(a, b, c, d) = (b - a)(c - a)(d - a)(c - b)(d - b)(d - c)$
(for a, b, c, d in any field \mathbb{F})
- Note that if $a = b$, etc. then the matrix has two equal rows, so the determinant is divisible by the product of the pairwise differences.
- The total degree is 6, so our formula for $V(a, b, c, d)$ is correct up to a constant factor.
- The coefficient of $d^3 c^2 b$ is $+1$ in $V(a, b, c, d)$ and in the claimed formula.
- Hence the claim is proved.

Consequences

Notes

- The determinant of our Vandermonde matrix is *nonzero* because $\alpha^i, \alpha^j, \alpha^l, \alpha^m$ are distinct elements of \mathbb{F}_{2^r} .
- It follows that our code has $d \geq 5$.
- What about k , the dimension?

The dimension of the BCH code

Notes

- Going back to the definition of \tilde{H} , recall that the second and fourth columns come because we know other roots of the polynomial $m_1(x)$.
- So in fact we really only need the columns for α and α^3 .
- If those are converted to binary vectors, we get a $(2^r - 1) \times 2r$ matrix whose columns are linearly independent.
- Hence, $k = 2^r - 2r - 1$.
- Finally, we see that $r \geq 4$ is necessary to get a code of dimension > 1 here.

Summary

Notes

Modulo some details, we have proved the following.

Theorem

Let $r \geq 4$. There is a 2 bit error correcting BCH code of length $n = 2^r - 1$ and $k = 2^r - 2r - 1$ with $d = 5$ and generator polynomial $g(x) = m_1(x)m_3(x)$.

For instance, with $r = 5$, we get a $[31, 21, 5]$ code over \mathbb{F}_2 . (This is the best possible d for $n = 31$, $k = 21$ over \mathbb{F}_2 .)

A simple algebraic decoding method for these codes is given in §5.5 in the text.