## MATH 243 – Mathematical Structures Solutions for Quiz 9 – December 1, 2017

A) (15) State the Completeness Axiom ('Axiom C') for the real numbers.

Solution: The statement is – Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a nonempty a subset that is bounded above. Then A has a least upper bound  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Note:

- (1) Saying  $b = \sup(A)$ , the least upper bound, means first that b is an upper bound for A that is, all  $x \in A$ ,  $x \leq b$  and moreover,
- (2) If  $x \leq b'$  for all  $x \in A$ , then  $b \leq b'$ . (Intuitively, b is the smallest number that is an upper bound for A.)
- (3) The assumption that  $A \neq \emptyset$  is necessary because  $\emptyset$  is bounded above, but every  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the condition  $x \leq b$  for all  $x \in \emptyset$  (there aren't any such x, so the condition is vacuously true). There is no smallest upper bound for A in that case.
- B) (15) Let  $A \subset \mathbf{R}$  and  $2A = \{2x : x \in A\}$ . Show that if  $\sup(A) = c$ , then  $\sup(2A) = 2c$ .

Solution 1: (a direct proof) First, since  $\sup(A) = c$ , we have that c is an upper bound for A. This implies that  $x \leq c$  for all  $x \in A$ . Since 2 > 0, we can multiply both sides of this inequality by 2 to yield  $2x \leq 2c$  for all  $x \in A$ . This shows that 2c is an upper for 2A as in point (1) in the solution for part A above. Now, to show 2c is the least upper bound, we need to show that point (2) also holds for the bound 2c and the set 2A. So let d be any other upper bound for the set 2A. This means that  $2x \leq d$  for all  $x \in A$ , so  $x \leq \frac{d}{2}$  for all x in A. By point (2) for the upper bound c for A, this implies  $c \leq \frac{d}{2}$ . But since 2 > 0, that implies  $2c \leq d$ . Hence  $2c = \sup(2A)$ .

Solution 2: The proof of point (1) is the same as before – if  $x \leq c$ , for all  $x \in A$ , then  $2x \leq 2c$ , so 2c is an upper bound for 2A. Now we argue by contradiction for point (2). Suppose 2c is not the least upper bound of 2A. That means that there is some d < 2c that is also an upper bound for 2A:  $2x \leq d$  for all  $2x \in 2A$ . But multiplying by 1/2 > 0 yields  $x \leq \frac{d}{2}$  for all  $x \in A$ . This implies  $\frac{d}{2}$  is an upper bound for A. However d < 2c implies  $\frac{d}{2} < c$  and that contradicts the assumption that c was the least upper bound of A.

Some further comments: It's tempting to say that c < 2c and try to relate c and 2c that way. However, this is only true if c > 0. If c < 0, then in fact 2c < c.