

Background

In class, we have introduced the *left- and right-hand sums* for a function f on an interval $[a, b]$, with a given number of subdivisions n , and a step-size $\Delta t = \frac{b-a}{n}$:

$$\text{Left – hand sum (LHS)} = f(t_0)\Delta t + \cdots + f(t_{n-1})\Delta t = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(t_i)\Delta t$$

$$\text{Right – hand sum (RHS)} = f(t_1)\Delta t + \cdots + f(t_n)\Delta t = \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\Delta t$$

We can also do the same sort of thing evaluating f at the midpoint of each smaller interval to get the *midpoint sum*. All of these are examples of *Riemann sums* for f .

If f is a continuous function, then the *definite integral* of f is obtained by letting the number n of subdivisions grow without any bound:

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(t_i)\Delta t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\Delta t$$

Today, we want to use some features of Maple to visualize these sums, and the limiting process that yields the value of the definite integral.

New Maple

We will be using several commands in the Maple `student` package in this lab. To make these available, you will need to start by entering the command:

```
with(student);
```

to load the student package. The output will be the list of commands included in this package. The ones we will use in the lab are:

- `leftbox`, `middlebox`, `rightbox` which draw graphical representations of the left-, midpoint, and right-hand Riemann sums for a given function, and
- `leftsum`, `middlesum`, `rightsum` which compute the left-, midpoint, and right-hand Riemann sums of a given function (as formulas). For instance, try entering the following commands to see the pictures for the left- and right-hand sums for $f(t) = t^2 - 3t + 4$ on $[a, b] = [0, 2]$ with $n = 5$ subdivisions:

```
leftbox(t^2 - 3*t + 4, t=0..2,5);  
rightbox(t^2 - 3*t + 4, t=0..2,5);
```

To see the numerical values of the left- and right-hand sums, you can enter commands like this:

```
evalf(leftsum(t^2 - 3*t + 4, t=0..2, 5));
evalf(rightsum(t^2 - 3*t + 4, t=0..2, 5));
```

If you leave off the `evalf()` around the `leftsum` or `rightsum`, can you see what the output means?

As you can probably guess now, the format for all of these commands is: the command name, open paren, the formula for the function f , comma, $t =$, then the endpoints, separated by two periods, another comma, then the number n , followed by the close paren, then the semicolon.

Lab Questions

A) In this question you will consider $f(t) = 4^t$ on the interval $[a, b] = [0, 2]$.

- 1) Using the `leftbox` and `rightbox` commands, draw the graphics for the Riemann sums on $[0, 2]$ with $n = 5, 20, 50$. Does it seem reasonable that both left- and right-hand sums will tend to the same limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$? Explain in a text region. Also *resize your graphs* before printing out your worksheet. Try to get all these graphs on one page(!)
- 2) Using the `leftsum` and `rightsum` commands, compute the numerical values of the sums with $n = 5, 20, 50$. How does these results compare to what you said in part 1?
- 3) Because 4^x is increasing on the interval, for each n

$$RHS - LHS = (f(2) - f(0))\Delta t = (f(2) - f(0)) \cdot \frac{2 - 0}{n}$$

and the actual value of the definite integral is somewhere in between the left- and right-hand sum values. How big must n be taken so that the left- and right-hand sums differ by no more than .0001? Using your value n , compute the values of the left- and right-hand sums to check your answer.

- 4) Now, compute the midpoint sum with $n = 200$. How close is this result to what you had in part 3? What does this say about the numbers of subdivisions needed to approximate $\int_0^2 4^t dt$ closely with the different methods?

B) Compute the left-, midpoint, and right-hand sums with $n = 5, 20, 50, 500, 1000$ for each of the following integrals. (No graphics for these, please – just the numerical values.) What is the limit to which your sums seem to be tending as n increases? (That is, estimate the value of the definite integral.) Also compare the *rates at which* each of the three methods seem to be approaching this limit. Is one getting there faster (i.e. closer to the limit for smaller n) than the others?

- 1)

$$\int_0^1 \sin(t^3 - 2t) dt$$

(In Maple, the function is `sin(t^3 - 2*t)`.)
2)

$$\int_{-1}^1 e^{-t^2} dt$$

(In Maple, the function is `exp(-t^2)`.)

Assignment

Individual writeups, due in class Wednesday, January 25.