

Mathematics 351 – Abstract Algebra 1  
 Solutions for Problem Set 10  
 December 5, 2007

*Section 7.7*

4. First notice that  $G = \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$  is abelian, so  $N$  and every other subgroup is normal. This implies that  $G/N$  has the structure of a group. We can determine this structure most directly by constructing the group table for the quotient group. First, the elements in  $N$  are  $N = \{(0, 0), (3, 2), (2, 0), (1, 2)\}$ . The distinct cosets are

$$(0, 0) + N, (0, 1) + N = \{(0, 1), (3, 3), (2, 1), (1, 3), \\ (0, 2) + N = \{(1, 0), (0, 2), (3, 0), (2, 2)\}, (0, 3) + N = \{(1, 1), (0, 3), (3, 1), (2, 3)\}.$$

Then the group table for the quotient group  $G/N$  is constructed using the coset addition operation (omitting  $+N$ 's for simplicity):

+	(0, 0)	(0, 1)	(0, 2)	(0, 3)
(0, 0)	(0, 0)	(0, 1)	(0, 2)	(0, 3)
(0, 1)	(0, 1)	(0, 2)	(0, 3)	(0, 0)
(0, 2)	(0, 2)	(0, 3)	(0, 0)	(0, 1)
(0, 3)	(0, 3)	(0, 0)	(0, 1)	(0, 2)

For example,  $(0, 2) + N + (0, 3) + N = (0, 1) + N$  from the above. By inspection,  $G/N$  is isomorphic to the cyclic group of order 4,  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ , since the coset  $(0, 1) + N$  is a generator for the whole group.

5. We proceed as in 4 above.  $N = \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 0), (3, 1), (4, 0), (5, 1)\}$  Hence  $(1, 1)$  is an element of order 6, and by Lagrange's Theorem  $|G/N| = 2$ . There are two cosets of  $N$  in  $G$ ,  $N = (0, 0) + N$  and  $(0, 1) + N = \{(0, 1), (1, 0), (2, 1), (3, 0), (4, 1), (5, 0)\}$ . The quotient group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  (every group of order 2 is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  since 2 is prime).

6. This could also be done by constructing the group tables of  $U_{32}/N$  and  $U_{16}$  and comparing their structures. We will illustrate a different method in this solution. We have that  $U_{32} = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31\}$  is an abelian group of order 16 under *multiplication* mod 32. The subgroup  $N = \{1, 17\}$  has 8 distinct multiplicative cosets, one for each  $k = 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15$ . This true because if  $k = 2\ell + 1$ , then  $17k = (16 + 1)(2\ell + 1) = 32\ell + 16 + (2\ell + 1) \equiv 16 + k \pmod{32}$ . For instance, this says  $N \cdot 5 = \{5, 21\}$ , etc. We construct

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : U_{32}/N &\rightarrow U_{16} \\ N \cdot k &\mapsto [k]_{16} \end{aligned}$$

This is well defined since if  $N \cdot k = N \cdot \ell$ , then by the above  $k \equiv \ell \pmod{16}$ , so they define the same class in  $U_{16}$ . The mapping  $\varphi$  is one-to-one and onto by construction. It is a group homomorphism because

$$\varphi((N \cdot k)(N \cdot \ell)) = \varphi(N \cdot (k\ell)) = [k\ell]_{16} = [k]_{16}[ \ell]_{16} = \varphi(N \cdot k)\varphi(N \cdot \ell).$$

Therefore  $U_{32}/N$  and  $U_{16}$  are isomorphic groups.

8. Let  $Nx \in G/N$ . Then by the definition of the coset product operation and the fact that  $x^2 \in N$ ,  $(Nx)^2 = (Nx)(Nx) = Nx^2 = N = Ne$ . Since  $Ne$  is the identity element in  $G/N$ . This shows that if  $Nx \neq Ne$ , then  $Nx$  has order 2 in  $G/N$ .

9.  $N$  is automatically normal in  $G$ , so  $G/N$  is a group. Let  $Na$  and  $Nb$  be any two cosets. Then by the definition of the coset product and the fact that  $G$  is abelian,

$$(Na)(Nb) = N(ab) = N(ba) = (Nb)(Na).$$

This shows that  $G/N$  is an abelian group.

15. (a) Let  $p/q + \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . The operation is addition, so

$$q \cdot (p/q + \mathbb{Z}) = (p/q + p/q + \cdots + p/q) + \mathbb{Z} = p + \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} = 0 + \mathbb{Z}.$$

This shows that  $p/q + \mathbb{Z}$  has finite order (equal to some divisor of the integer  $q$ ).

(b) Let  $p/q$  be a rational number in lowest terms (that is,  $(p, q) = 1$ ). Then when we form  $q(p/q + \mathbb{Z}) = 0 + \mathbb{Z}$ , no smaller multiple of  $p/q + \mathbb{Z}$  equals  $0 + \mathbb{Z}$  since the smallest positive multiple of  $p$  that is also a multiple of  $q$  is  $pq$ . This shows that  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  contains elements of every order  $q \geq 1$ .

16. The result in part (a) of Exercise 15 also shows that every element of the subgroup  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  has finite order in  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Now let  $r \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  and assume that there is some positive integer  $q$  such that  $0 + \mathbb{Z} = q(r + \mathbb{Z}) = qr + \mathbb{Z}$ . This is only true if  $qr = p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But then  $r = p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence the set of elements of finite order in  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Since both containments hold, the two subgroups are equal.

23. (a) Let  $a, b \in G$ , then following the hint, for all  $g \in G$ ,

$$g^{-1}aba^{-1}b^{-1}g = (g^{-1}ag)(g^{-1}bg)(g^{-1}a^{-1}g)(g^{-1}b^{-1}g) = (g^{-1}ag)(g^{-1}bg)(g^{-1}ag)^{-1}(g^{-1}bg)^{-1} \in G'.$$

If we have any element  $c$  of  $G'$ , then  $c = c_1c_2 \cdots c_n$  is a product of elements  $c_i = a_i b_i a_i^{-1} b_i^{-1}$  of the form above for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $g^{-1}cg = (g^{-1}c_1g)(g^{-1}c_2g) \cdots (g^{-1}c_ng)$  is also a product of commutators, hence an element of  $G'$ . This shows  $g^{-1}G'g \subseteq G'$  for all  $g \in G$ . Hence  $G'$  is normal by part (2) of Theorem 7.34.

(b) In the quotient group  $G/G'$ , consider any two cosets  $G'a$  and  $G'b$ . By the definition of the coset product, we have

$$(G'a)(G'b)(G'a)^{-1}(G'b)^{-1} = G'(aba^{-1}b^{-1}) = G' = G'e,$$

since  $aba^{-1}b^{-1} \in G'$ . This implies  $(G'a)(G'b) = (G'b)(G'a)$  for all  $a, b$ . Hence  $G/G'$  is an abelian group.

Section 7.8

6. (a) Every subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}/H$  is  $K/H$  for a subgroup  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_{12}$  containing  $H$  (Theorem 7.44, part (3)). These subgroups are  $K_0 = H$  itself,  $K_1 = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}$ ,  $K_2 = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$ , and  $K_3 = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . The corresponding subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}/H$  are  $K_0/H = \{0 + H\}$ ,  $K_1/H = \{0 + H, 3 + H\}$ ,  $K_2/H = \{0 + H, 2 + H, 4 + H\}$ , and  $K_3/H = \mathbb{Z}_{12}/H = \{0 + H, 1 + H, 2 + H, 3 + H, 4 + H, 5 + H\}$ .

(b) Similar to part (a). This time there are only three such subgroups,  $L_i/K$  where  $L_0 = K$ ,  $L_1 = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18\}$ , and  $L_2 = \mathbb{Z}_{20}$ .

10. (a) The set  $K$  is the set of all elements of order 2 or less. Since  $e \in G$  has order 1,  $K$  is nonempty. Moreover, if  $a, b \in K$ , then using the abelian hypothesis on  $G$ ,  $(ab)^2 = (ab)(ab) = a^2b^2 = e$ . Hence  $ab$  has order at most 2 and is an element in  $K$ . Finally, if  $a \in G$ , then the order of  $a^{-1}$  is the same as the order of  $a$ , so  $a^{-1} \in K$  as well. Thus  $K$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

(b) The set  $H$  is the set of squares of elements in  $G$ . This is nonempty since  $G$  is nonempty. If  $a = x^2$  and  $b = y^2$  are in  $H$ , then  $ab = x^2y^2 = (xy)(xy) = (xy)^2$  is also in  $H$  since  $x$  and  $y$  commute. Finally, if  $a = x^2$  is in  $H$ , then  $a^{-1} = (x^2)^{-1} = (x^{-1})^2 \in H$ . Hence  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

(c) Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  be the mapping  $\varphi(x) = x^2$ . This is a group homomorphism under the hypothesis that  $G$  is abelian:  $\varphi(xy) = (xy)^2 = (xy)(xy) = x^2y^2 = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ . By definition  $\varphi$  is onto  $H$ . The kernel of  $\varphi$  is precisely the subgroup  $K$  from part (a). Hence by the First Isomorphism Theorem,  $H \simeq G/K$ .

15. The group  $\mathbb{R}^*$  is the multiplicative group of nonzero reals. The mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \det : \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^* \\ A &\mapsto \det(A) \end{aligned}$$

is a group homomorphism by Exercise 22 of section 7.6. The kernel of  $\det$  is the subgroup  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  (this was one way to show that  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  – see Exercise 23 of section 7.6). Hence by the First Isomorphism Theorem,  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^*$ .

16. (a) By the distributive law in  $R$ ,  $f(x+y) = r(x+y) = rx+ry = f(x)+f(y)$ . Hence  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is a group homomorphism for the additive group structure on  $(R, +)$ .

(b) Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r = 4 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $f(1 \cdot 2) = 4 \cdot (1 \cdot 2) = 8$  but  $f(1) \cdot f(2) = (4 \cdot 1) \cdot (4 \cdot 2) = 32$ . Hence  $f(1 \cdot 2) \neq f(1) \cdot f(2)$ . So  $f$  is not a ring homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to itself.

(c) Suppose that  $R$  is a commutative ring and  $r$  is an *idempotent* element (that is  $r^2 = r$ ). Then  $f(xy) = r(xy) = r^2(xy) = (rx)(ry) = f(x)f(y)$ . So in this case  $f$  is a ring homomorphism. (*Comment:* Another correct answer would be to say that  $r = 0, 1$  always work! Any ring  $R$  contains idempotents  $r = 0, 1$ . Some rings have others too. For instance,  $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  under the component-wise sum and product operations also has idempotents  $r = (1, 0)$  and  $r = (0, 1)$ .)

17. (a)  $G$  is clearly nonempty since  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$  are arbitrary. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a' & b' \\ 0 & 1 & c' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a + a' & b + ac' + b' \\ 0 & 1 & c + c' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Since this matrix is also in  $G$ ,  $G$  is closed under matrix multiplication. The computation above also shows that  $AB = I$ , the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix, if  $a' = -a$ ,  $c' = -c$ , and  $b' = -b - ac' = -b + ac$ . The matrix

$$B = A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a & -b + ac \\ 0 & 1 & -c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is also in  $G$ . Hence  $G$  is a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{Q})$ , hence a group.

(b) From the equation for  $AB$  above and the reverse product

$$BA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a' + a & b' + a'c + b \\ 0 & 1 & c' + c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that  $AB = BA$  if and only if  $a'c = ac'$ . Hence  $A$  is in the center of  $G$  if and only if  $a'c = ac'$  for all choices of  $a', c' \in \mathbb{Q}$ . For  $a' = 1, c' = 0$  we get  $c = 0$  and then since  $c' \neq 0$  is also possible,  $a = 0$  as well. The center of  $G$  is

$$Z(G) = \left\{ A \in G : A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, b \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

To show the last statement in this part, consider the mapping

$$\varphi : Z(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

defined by

$$\varphi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = b.$$

This is clearly one-to-one and onto, and moreover by the product formula (1) above in the case  $a = c = a' = c' = 0$ , we see if  $A$  and  $B$  are as in part A,  $\varphi(AB) = b + b' = \varphi(A) + \varphi(B)$ . This shows that  $Z(G)$  and  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$  are isomorphic groups.

(c) Now define  $\psi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$  (a group under component-wise sums) by

$$\psi \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = (a, c).$$

By the product formula (1),  $\psi$  is a group homomorphism:  $\psi(AB) = (a+a', c+c') = (a, c) + (a', c') = \psi(A) + \psi(B)$ . Then we note that  $\ker(\psi)$  is the set of matrices  $A$  in  $G$  with  $a = c = 0$ . This is precisely  $Z(G)$  by part (b). Hence by the First Isomorphism Theorem,  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \simeq G/Z(G)$ .

### Section 7.9

3. (a) This is (12)(45)(679). (b) (13)(254)(789). (c) (13)(254)(69)(78). (d) (1573)(24). (e) (123)(456)(78).

4. (a) One way (there are many other correct ones too – see for instance the different factorization from Corollary 7.48!): (12)(45)(79)(69). (b) (13)(54)(24)(89)(69). (c) (13)(54)(24)(69)(78). (d) (73)(53)(13)(24). (e) (23)(13)(56)(46)(78).

5. (a) (2468) = (68)(48)(28) is odd. (b) even. (c) even.

23. Let  $\tau = (ij)$ . Then we claim that for any  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,  $\rho = \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(i)\sigma(j))$ . To see this note that  $\rho(\sigma(i)) = \sigma(\tau(i)) = \sigma(j)$  and  $\rho(\sigma(j)) = \sigma(\tau(j)) = \sigma(i)$ . Moreover if  $k$  is any element of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  besides  $\sigma(i), \sigma(j)$  then we can write  $k = \sigma(\ell)$  for some  $\ell \neq i, j$ . Then  $\rho(k) = \sigma(\tau(\ell)) = \sigma(\ell) = k$ . Hence  $\rho$  fixes every other element of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  besides  $i, j$ . Therefore  $\rho = \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(i)\sigma(j))$  is a transposition.

24. We combine Exercise 23 and the factorization of the cycle  $\tau$  as a product of transpositions as in Corollary 7.48. We have  $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k) = (a_1 a_k)(a_1 a_{k-1}) \cdots (a_1 a_2)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k) \sigma^{-1} &= \sigma(a_1 a_k)(a_1 a_{k-1}) \cdots (a_1 a_2) \sigma^{-1} \\ &= (\sigma(a_1 a_k) \sigma^{-1})(\sigma(a_1 a_{k-1}) \sigma^{-1}) \cdots (\sigma(a_1 a_2) \sigma^{-1}) \\ &= (\sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_k))(\sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_{k-1})) \cdots (\sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_2)) \\ &= (\sigma(a_1) \sigma(a_2) \cdots \sigma(a_k)) \end{aligned}$$

25. Consider  $H = G \cap A_n$ , which is a subgroup of  $G$ .  $H$  consists of all the even permutations that are in  $G$ . But now since there is some  $\sigma \in G$  that is an odd permutation, we can consider the right coset  $H\sigma$ . Every element of the coset  $H\sigma$  is an odd permutation that is in  $G$ . Moreover every odd  $\rho$  permutation in  $G$  has the form  $\rho = (\rho\sigma^{-1})\sigma$ . Since  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are odd,  $\rho\sigma^{-1}$  is even, so it is an element of  $H$ . Therefore every odd permutation in  $G$  belongs to the coset  $H\sigma$ . Hence  $H \cap H\sigma = G$ , since every permutation in  $G$  is either even or odd. This shows that  $H$  has index 2 in  $G$ .

### Section 8.1

5. The Klein 4-group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  has order 4, but no elements of order 4 (only orders 1 and 2). Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  is not cyclic even though  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle 1 \rangle$  is a cyclic group.

6. (a) Every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$  can be written uniquely as a sum of an element of  $H = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}$  and  $K = \{0, 4, 8\}$ . (the cosets of  $H$  for the elements in  $K$  fill out all of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ ). Hence by Theorem 8.1,  $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \simeq H \times K$ .

(b) Every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$  can be written uniquely as a sum of an element of  $H = \{0, 5, 10\}$  and  $K = \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12\}$  (the cosets of  $H$  for the elements in  $K$  fill out all of  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$ ). Hence by Theorem 8.1,  $\mathbb{Z}_{15} \simeq H \times K$ . 7.

(c) Finally, every element of  $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$  can be written uniquely as a sum of an element of  $H = \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25\}$  and  $K = \{0, 6, 12, 18, 24\}$ . Hence by Theorem 8.1,  $\mathbb{Z}_{30} \simeq H \times K$ . Similarly,  $\mathbb{Z}_{30} \simeq \{0, 10, 20\} \times \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27\}$ . and

$$\mathbb{Z}_{30} \simeq \{0, 15\} \times \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28\}.$$

Note that we also have

$$\mathbb{Z}_{30} = \{0, 15\} \times \{0, 10, 20\} \times \{0, 6, 12, 18, 24\}.$$

7.  $\Rightarrow$ : If  $G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n$  is an abelian group, then for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and all  $a, b \in G_i$ ,

$$(e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, a, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n)(e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, b, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, ab, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n)$$

and

$$(e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, b, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n)(e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, a, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, ba, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_n).$$

The left sides of these equations are equal, hence the right sides are equal too, so  $ab = ba$ . This shows  $G_i$  is abelian.

$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose each  $G_i$  is abelian. Then in the direct product for all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ ,

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n)(b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_n b_n) = (b_1 a_1, \dots, b_n a_n) = (b_1, \dots, b_n)(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

This shows that the direct product is also an abelian group.

9. The answer is *No*. One reason we can see this is that  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  contains only elements of order 1, 2, 4 (none of order 8):

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |(0, 0)| \\ 2 &= |(2, 0)| = |(2, 1)| = |(0, 1)| \\ 4 &= |(1, 0)| = |(1, 1)| = |(3, 0)| = |(3, 1)|. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  cannot be isomorphic to the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ .