Abstract

The real projective plan $P^2$ can be endowed with a dianalytic structure making it into a non-orientable Klein surface. Dianalytic self-mappings of that surface are projections of analytic self-mappings of the Riemann sphere $\hat{C}$. It is known that the only analytic bijective self-mappings of $\hat{C}$ are the Möbius transformations. The Blaschke products are obtained by multiplying particular Möbius transformations. They are no longer one-to-one mappings. However, some of these products can be projected on $P^2$ and they become dianalytic self-mappings of $P^2$. More exactly, they represent canonical projections of non-orientable branched covering Klein surfaces over $P^2$. This article is devoted to color visualization of such mappings. The working tool is the technique of simultaneous continuation we introduced in previous papers. Additional graphics and animations are provided on the web site of the project [1].
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1 Blaschke Products and Blaschke Quotients

The building blocks of Blaschke products are Möbius transformations of the form

$$b_k(z) = e^{i\theta_k} \frac{a_k - z}{1 - a_k z},$$

where $a_k \in D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$, and $\theta_k \in \mathbb{R}$. We call them Blaschke factors. A finite (infinite) Blaschke product has the form

$$w = B(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} b_k(z),$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (respectively $n = \infty$). In the infinite case it is customary to take $e^{i\theta_k} = \bar{a}_k/|a_k|$. We will adopt these values throughout in this paper. Finite Blaschke products are meromorphic functions in $\hat{C}$, having all the poles outside the unit disk. Infinite Blaschke products cannot be defined on the set $E$ of accumulation points of the zeros $a_k$ of $B$, yet they are meromorphic functions in $\hat{C}\setminus E$. If we allow values of $a_k$ with $|a_k| > 1$ in (1), then (2) becomes the quotient of two legitimate Blaschke products (see [5]), called a Blaschke quotient.

It is known that the necessary and sufficient condition for an infinite Blaschke product (2) to be convergent is that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |a_k|) < \infty$. Moreover, if this condition holds, then the product converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{C}\setminus(A \cup E)$, where $A = \{1/\overline{a_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is the set of poles of $B$. It is an easy exercise to show that the same condition is necessary and sufficient for the convergence of a Blaschke quotient $B$. The set $A$ is still the set of poles of $B$, some of which might be now situated inside the unit disc. Again, if the condition holds, then the convergence is uniform.
on every compact subset of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus (A \cup E) \), where \( E \subset \partial D \) is the set of accumulation points of the sequence \( \{a_k\} \).

The papers [2]-[4] deal with the study of global geometric properties of Blaschke products, while [5] and [6] refer to Blaschke self mappings of the real projective plan. In the case of infinite Blaschke products and quotients we restricted our study to the situation where \( E \) is a (generalized) Cantor subset of the unit circle. Such a set is the union of a discrete non-empty set and a ternary Cantor subset on the unit circle, which might be the empty set. Obviously, it contains no arc of the unit circle. This restriction is neither necessary nor sufficient for the convergence of infinite Blaschke products or quotients, yet it is inclusive enough to allow the treatment of a wide class of such functions. Most of the results we obtained in these papers are expected to be true in some different settings.

### 2 Blaschke Products and Quotients Commuting with \( h \)

The function \( h : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) defined by \( h(z) = -1/z \), if \( z \notin \{0, \infty\} \), and \( h(0) = \infty, h(\infty) = 0 \), is a fixed point free antianalytic involution. This involution and the functions commuting with it play a crucial role in the theory of Klein surfaces. It is therefore interesting to study Blaschke products and quotients commuting with \( h \). If \( B \) is a Blaschke product or quotient, then \( B \circ h = h \circ B \) if and only if \( B \) is of the form (see [6]):

\[
B(z) = z^{2p+1} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\bar{a}_k a_k^2 - z^2}{a_k \left(1 - \bar{a}_k^2 z^2\right)}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( p \) is an integer and \( |a_k| \neq 1 \).

We are looking for classes of Blaschke products of the types studied in [2] which also have the property of commuting with \( h \). If in formula (7) from [2] we take \( a_1 = -a_2 = a \), let \( n \) be an odd positive integer and multiply by the factor \( z^n \), we obtain such a product. It is of the form:

\[
B(z) = B_a(z) = z^n \left[ \frac{\bar{a} a^2 - z^2}{a \left(1 - \bar{a}^2 z^2\right)} \right]^n. \tag{4}
\]

If we let \( a \) in (4) take a value with \( |a| > 1 \), then after the substitution \( a = 1/\bar{c} \), we obtain \( B_a(z) = z^n / B_c(z) \), where \( |c| < 1 \). Therefore, \( B_a \) appears as the quotient of two legitimate Blaschke products. A similar result is obtained if we allow in (3) negative values for \( p \). When dealing with Blaschke self-mappings of the real projective plan, the fact that \( |a_k| \) in (3) are greater or less than 1, or \( p \) is positive or negative does not matter, since \( a_k \) and \(-1/\bar{a}_k\) are as well as \( z \) and \(-1/\bar{z}\) are identified in order to obtain corresponding points on \( P^2 \). In other words, in such an instance Blaschke products and quotients must be treated as a unique class of functions.

**Theorem 2.1.** The fundamental domains of \((\hat{\mathbb{C}}, B)\), where \( B \) is given by (4) are bounded by consecutive arcs connecting \( a \) and \( 1/\bar{a} \), \(-a \) and \(-1/\bar{a} \), \( b \) and \( 1/\bar{b} \), respectively \(-b \) and \(-1/\bar{b} \), a part of the line determined by 0 and \( a \), as well as its symmetric with respect to the unit circle, and some infinite rays issued from the origin. Here \( b \) is any one of the four solutions of the equation \( B'(z) = 0 \) which is not a zero of \( B \). Every fundamental domain is mapped conformally by \( B \) on the \( w \)-plane from which a part of the real axis has been removed.

**Proof:** If \( a = re^{i\alpha} \), then the equation \( B(z) = \tau e^{i\omega_k} \), \( \tau \geq 0 \) is equivalent to the set of equations

\[
z \frac{\tau^2 - (e^{-i\alpha} z)^2}{1 - (e^{-i\alpha} z)^2} = \tau^{1/k} e^{i\omega_k}, \quad \tau \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, \tag{5}
\]
where $\omega_k$ are the $n$-th roots of unity. These equations become, after the substitution $u = e^{i\alpha}z$,

$$u \frac{r^2 - u^2}{1 - r^2u^2} = \tau^{1/n}\omega_k, \quad \tau \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Each one of the equations (5) is a third degree equation and therefore has three roots (distinct or not). It is known (see [3]) that for $|\zeta| = 1$ we have $B' (\zeta) \neq 0$, and also that $|B (z)| = 1$ if and only if $|z| = 1$. Therefore, for $\tau = 1$, the solutions of the equations (5) are distinct and they are all points on the unit circle. We notice that $\zeta_0 = - e^{i\alpha}$ is a solution of the equation (5) corresponding to $k = 0$ and that the other solutions of (5) are two by two symmetric with respect to the line $z = te^{i\alpha}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote them by $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_{3n-1}$ visited counter-clockwise on the unit circle.

The simultaneous continuation in the unit disc over $w(\tau) = (1 - \tau)e^{in\alpha}$, $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, starting from these points produces $3n$ arcs $z_j(\tau)$ converging $n$ of them to $a, n$ of them to $-a$ and $n$ of them to 0 and arriving there when $\tau = 1$. Indeed, the points $\pm a$, and 0 as multiple zeros of $B$ of order of multiplicity $n$, are branch points of order $n$ of the branched covering surface $(\mathbb{C}, B)$ (see [3] and [4]).

Due to the fact that $B$ and $h$ commute, so are $\pm 1/b$ and $\infty$. These last points can be reached by simultaneous continuation over $w(\tau) = \tau e^{in\alpha}$, $\tau \in (1, +\infty)$. The other branch points can be found by solving the equation $d \left[ u \frac{r^2 - u^2}{1 - r^2u^2} \right] / du = 0$, where $u = e^{i\alpha}z$. The solutions of this equation are, in terms of $z$:

$$\pm \frac{1}{r\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{3 - r^4 \pm \sqrt{(3 - r^4)^2 - 4r^4e^{i\alpha}}}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

It is obvious that $(3 - r^4)^2 - 4r^4 > 0$ and $3 - r^4 - \sqrt{(3 - r^4)^2 - 4r^4} > 0$. Hence, these branch points are all on the line $z(t) = e^{i\alpha}t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ passing through $\pm a$ and 0, as expected (see [8]). In fact, denoting by $b$ the solution situated between 0 and $a$, i.e.

$$b = \frac{1}{r\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{3 - r^4 - \sqrt{(3 - r^4)^2 - 4r^4e^{i\alpha}}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)

the other solutions are $-b$, $1/b$, and $-1/b$. We notice that

$$B(e^{i\alpha}t) = e^{i\alpha}t^n[(t^2 - r^2)/(1 - r^2t^2)]^n$$

and since $|b| < r$, as it can be easily checked, this formula shows that the branch points $\pm b$ are reached by $z(t)$, $t < 1$, after passing through $\pm x_a$, which correspond to $\tau = 1$. This means that we need to extend the simultaneous continuation for negative values of $1 - \tau$, more exactly for values of $1 - \tau$ between 0 and $-|B(b)|$ to let these arcs meet each other. Similarly, the points $\pm 1/b$ are reached by $z(t)$, $t > 1$, after passing through $\pm 1/\bar{a}$, which correspond to $\tau = +\infty$. Again, we need to let $\tau$ vary through negative values less than $-|B(1/\bar{b})|$. The consecutive arcs obtained above border domains which are mapped by $B$ conformally on the $w$-plane from which the ray $w(t) = te^{i\alpha}t$, $t > 0$, has been removed (fundamental domains). The mappings are continuous also on the border of every fundamental domain and there is a continuous passage from the mapping of one fundamental domain to that of any adjacent one. Moreover, $B$ is conformal also on the boundaries of the fundamental domains, except for the branch points. We notice that the segment between $-a$ and $-1/\bar{a}$ is on the border of two fundamental domains of $B$, since $-e^{i\alpha}$ is always a solution of the equation (4) corresponding to $k = 0$, while the open interval between $a$ and $1/\bar{a}$ is always inside a fundamental domain of $B$. There are $n$ fundamental domains bounded by arcs connecting $a$ and $1/\bar{a}$, as well as $n$ fundamental domains bounded by arcs connecting $-a$ and $-1/\bar{a}$, and $n$ unbounded fundamental domains. Two of these last domains contain on their boundary the segment between 0 and $a$ and the segment between $1/\bar{a}$ and $\infty$ on the ray $z(t) = e^{i\alpha}t$, $t > 0$, and other two the segment between 0 and $-a$ and the segment between $-1/\bar{a}$ and $\infty$ on the ray
\[ z(t) = e^{i\alpha}t, \ t < 0. \] The other unbounded fundamental domains have as boundaries couples of arcs extending from 0 to \( \infty. \)

**Theorem 2.2:** Let us denote by \( \Omega_j \) the fundamental domain containing the arc of the unit circle between \( \zeta_j \) and \( \zeta_{j+1} \), \( j = 0, 1, ..., 3n - 1 \) and let

\[ S_k(z) = B_{\Omega_k}^{-1}(k+j) \circ \Omega_j(z), \quad j = 0, 1, ..., 3n - 1, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., 3n - 1. \]

Then \( \{S_k\} \) is a group under the composition law \( S_k \circ S_j = S_{(k+j) \mod 3n} \), where \( S_0 \) is the identity of the group and for every \( k \) we have \( S_k^{-1} = S_{3n-k} \).

The proof is elementary and we omit it. We notice that for every \( k \) we have \( B \circ S_k = B \) and if for some meromorphic function \( U \) we have \( B \circ U = B \), then \( U \) must be one of the transformations \( S_k \) (see [4]). Consequently, the group \( \{S_k\} \) is the group of cover transformations of \( (\hat{C}, B) \).

We illustrate these results by taking \( n = 3 \) in (4). For \( n = 3 \) and \( \tau = 1 \) the equations (6) become:

\[
\frac{ur^2 - u^2}{1 - r^2|u|^2} = \omega_k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \tag{9}
\]

with the obvious solution \( u = -1 \) for \( k = 0 \). We notice that for \( k = 1, 2 \), since \( \omega_1 = \omega_2 \), if \( u \) is a solution of one of the two corresponding equations (9) then \( \overline{u} \) is a solution of the other one, and therefore these six solutions are two by two complex conjugate. The other three solutions are \(-1\) and the complex conjugate numbers \( \frac{1}{2}[1 + r^2 \pm i\sqrt{4 - (1 + r^2)^2}] = e^{\pm i\beta} \), where \( \beta = \arccos \frac{1 + r^2}{2} \).

The effect of the complex conjugation of the roots \( u_j \) of the equations (9), expressed in terms of the corresponding roots of the equations (5), is the symmetry of these roots with respect to the line \( z(t) = e^{i\alpha}t, \ t \in \mathbb{R} \). The root corresponding to \( u = -1 \) is \( z = -e^{i\alpha} \) and the roots corresponding to \( u = e^{\pm i\beta} \) are \( z = e^{i(\alpha \pm \beta)} \). The dependence of these last roots on \( r \) through the intermediate of \( \beta \) is obvious. On the website of the project [1] we illustrate the dependence on \( r \) of all the solutions of the equation \( B(z) = e^{i\alpha}a \).

In Figure 1 we took \( n = 3, \ r = 2/3 \) and \( \alpha = \pi/3 \). Figure 1(a-c) shows colored arcs connecting \( \zeta_k \) with \( a, -a, b, -b \) and 0. Every color corresponds to a point \( \zeta_j \) on the unit circle. These arcs have been obtained by simultaneous continuation (see [3]) over the real negative half axis starting from \( \zeta_k \). The three pictures illustrate the status of the continuation corresponding to three different values of \( \tau : \ \tau = 1 - |B(b)|, \ \tau = 1 \) and \( \tau = 1 + |B(b)| \). The arcs converging to \( a, -a \) and 0 reach these points as \( w = B(z) \) reaches 0 (Figure 1(b)). However, the arcs converging to \( b \) must have reached the respective point before (Figure 1(a)), since \( B(b) \) is situated between \(-1 \) and 0. When \( w \) varies between \( B(b) \) and 0, one of these arcs goes from \( b \) to 0 and the other from \( b \) to \( a \), while the other arcs reach, some of them 0, and some of them \(-a\). When \( w \) reaches 0, all the solutions of the equation \( B(z) = -1 \) are connected to some of the points \( a, -a, b \) and 0. However, there is no arc connecting \( -a \) and 0. We need to let \( 1 - \tau \) vary through negative values up to \(-|B(b)| \) in order to allow the pre-image arcs starting in \( -a \) and 0 meet each other in \(-b \) (Figure 1(c)). After reflecting them into the unit circle, we obtain the fundamental domains (Fig. 1(d)). It appears that this figure shows 0 and \(-a\) and \(-1/\overline{a}\) as branch points of order four, since there are four arcs converging to each one of them. But this comes in contradiction with the expression (4) for \( n = 3 \), according to which they should have order three. However, the colors of the curves help solve this mystery. Indeed, in every one of these points there are curves of just three colors meeting: red, purple and light blue in \( a \) and \( 1/\overline{a} \); orange, blue and magenta in \(-a \) and \(-1/\overline{a}\) and yellow, pink and green in 0. We notice also that in \( b \) and \( 1/\overline{b} \) curves of just two colors, red and green, are touching each other while two curves, one blue and the other green, are passing through \(-b \) and \(-1/\overline{b}\). Therefore, despite the appearance of \( b \) and \( 1/\overline{b} \) being branch points of order four and that of \(-b \) and \(-1/\overline{b}\)
being regular points, the reality is that all of them are branch points of order two. These facts appear more obvious when we inspect the picture 1(d). Indeed, there the configurations are the same in $a, 1/\bar{a}, -a, -1/\bar{a}$ and 0 as well as in $b, -b, 1/\bar{b}$ and $-1/\bar{b}$. Figure 1(g) shows the projection of the boundaries of the fundamental domains from Fig. 1(d) onto the Steiner surface.

When extending the simultaneous continuation over the part of real half axis from 0 to $-|B(b)|$, the arcs through 0, $a$, and $-a$ will extend also inside the domains already bounded by them and the unit circle. The same is true for the domains obtained from them by reflection with respect to the unit circle. In the end, some of the fundamental domains appear as having inner boundaries (arcs whose points are accessible in two distinct ways from the inside of the domain), which produce in Figure 1(f) and 1(g) the "fractures" inside some fundamental domains. We think that the respective fractures are due to the fact that, in this case, Mathematica computes separately the values of the function on the two borders, as if indeed they represented different parts of the boundary, and the errors of approximation accumulate differently at the same point producing slightly different values. There are no real inner boundaries in any fundamental domain of this example.

We obtain a visualization of the mapping (4) by coloring a set of annuli centered at the origin of the $w$-plane in different colors and with saturation increasing counter-clockwise and brightness increasing outward (the saturation is determined by the argument of the point and the brightness is determined by the modulus) and imposing the same color, saturation and brightness to the pre-image of every point in these annuli. Figures 1(e) and 1(f) show the fundamental domains of the mapping (4). The annuli are rendered in Figures 1(h) and (i). Note that in all figures we show only a selection of the annuli whose pre-images are displayed. A complete collection of annuli can be viewed on the website of the project [1].

If the exponent $p$ in (3) is negative, or if some of $a_k$ have the module greater than 1, then $B$ is a Blaschke quotient. In this case, parts of the interior of the unit disc switch with parts of its exterior when mapped by $B$, yet the unit circle is still mapped on itself. However, there might be some other curves mapped on the unit circle. This is the case when in (4) we make one of the following two changes: we replace the factor $z^n$ by $1/z^n$, or we let $|a| > 1$. First notice that with the notation (4), we have for any $a$ with $|a| \neq 1$:

$$B(z) = (1/z^n) \left[ \frac{\bar{a} z^2 - a^2}{a \bar{a} z^2 - 1} \right]^n = B_{1/a}(1/z). \quad (10)$$

Therefore, when the first change is made, we need to solve an equation of the form: $B_{1/a}(1/z) = \tau e^{-i\alpha}$, and make the same substitution: $u = e^{-i\alpha} z$. Instead of (6) we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{u} \frac{r^2 - u^2}{1 - r^2 u^2} = \tau^{1/n} \omega_k, \quad \tau \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1. \quad (11)$$

This time we might happen to have $B'(\zeta) = 0$ for some values $\zeta$ with $|\zeta| = 1$. Indeed, the equation $\frac{d}{du} \left( \frac{1}{u} \frac{r^2 - u^2}{1 - r^2 u^2} \right) = 0$ is equivalent to:

$$r^2 u^4 + (1 - 3 r^4) u^2 + r^2 = 0, \quad (12)$$

which gives:

$$u^2 = (1/2 r^2) [3 r^4 - 1 \pm \sqrt{(1 - r^4)(1 - 9 r^4)}]. \quad (13)$$

Then, for $1/\sqrt{3} < r < 1$, we have $u^2 = e^{\pm i\gamma}$, where $\gamma = \arccos \frac{3 r^4 - 1}{2 r^2}$, thus $z = \pm e^{i(\alpha \pm \gamma/2)}$. These numbers are solutions of the equation $B'(z) = 0$, therefore they are branch points of $(\mathbb{C}, B)$ and they are situated on the unit circle. This can happen only if the pre-image by $B$ of the unit circle from the $w$-plane contains, besides the unit circle, two other curves passing through these points.
Due to the continuity, these must be closed curves on \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) and due to the fact that \( B \) commutes with \( h \), they must be \( h \)-symmetric to each other. Moreover, the equation \( B(z) = e^{-i n \alpha} \) has all the roots \( \zeta_k, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, 3n - 1 \), on the unit circle and on these two curves. Suppose that we have counted these roots in the following way: \( \zeta_0 = -e^{i \alpha}, \) then we denoted by \( \zeta_1 \) the root obtained after turning once counter-clockwise around the unit circle in the \( w \)-plane, so that the image by \( B \) of the arc between \( \zeta_0 \) and \( \zeta_1 \) is that circle, etc. Finally, from \( \zeta_{3n-1} \) we reach \( \zeta_0 \) again by turning once more around the respective circle. In other words, the three components of the pre-image of the unit circle from the \( w \)-plane can be viewed as a unique closed curve \( \Gamma \) with self intersections in the points representing solutions situated on the unit circle of the equation \( B'(z) = 0 \). The curve \( \Gamma \) is the lifting in the \( z \)-plane starting from \( \zeta_0 \) of the closed curve obtained by tracing the unit circle in the \( w \)-plane \( 3n \) times counter-clockwise. When performing the simultaneous continuation over \( w(\tau) = \tau e^{-i n \alpha}, \tau > 0 \), starting from \( \zeta_k \), the arcs we obtain in this way, as well as the arcs determined by \( \zeta_k \) on \( \Gamma \) define fundamental domains for \( (\hat{\mathbb{C}},B) \).

If \( r = 1/\sqrt{3}, \) then \( \gamma = \pi \) and the self intersection points of \( \Gamma \) are \( \pm e^{i (\alpha + \pi/2)} \) on the unit circle, which are branch points of order six for \( (\hat{\mathbb{C}},B) \). Obviously, \( \Gamma \) is an \( h \)-symmetric curve (see Figure 2(b)). The sequence \( (\zeta_k) \) shows how this curve is traced by a point \( \zeta \) whose image by \( B \) goes around the unit circle in the \( w \)-plane \( 14 \) times counter-clockwise. Figures 2(a) and 2(c) give the illusion that \( \zeta \) goes sometimes clockwise (as, for example, between \( \zeta_1 \) and \( \zeta_2 \), since we are tempted to improperly divide \( \Gamma \) in connected components.

Finally, when \( r \) starts taking values less than \( 1/\sqrt{3}, \) the curve \( \Gamma \) separates into three disjoint components, one of which is the unit circle and the other two are one interior to the unit circle and one exterior to it (see Figure 2(c)). These last two components continue to remain \( h \)-symmetric to each other.

In the case \( r = 1/\sqrt{3}, \) the line determined by the points \( \pm e^{i (\alpha + \pi/2)} \) intersects \( \Gamma \) in two triplets of points having the same images by \( B \) on the unit circle in the \( w \)-plane. If \( \zeta \) follows on \( \Gamma \) and on parts of this line the sequence \( (\zeta_k) \) as previously, we notice in all the three cases the following. The arcs representing continuations from \( \zeta_k \) over the real negative half-axis meet in \( 14 \) branch points of \( (\hat{\mathbb{C}},B) \). All these arcs determine \( 18 \) domains which are mapped conformally by \( B \) either on the open unit disc (\( i \)-domains), or on the exterior of the closed unit disc (\( e \)-domains). Every couple of adjacent \( i \)-domains and \( e \)-domains, is separated by continuation arcs, while every couple of domains of different types is separated by arcs of \( \Gamma \). We can combine arbitrarily two adjacent \( i \)-domains and \( e \)-domains in order to form fundamental domains of \( (\hat{\mathbb{C}},B) \). The border of every \( i \)-domain is obtained by traversing counter-clockwise arcs of \( \Gamma \) determined by consecutive \( \zeta_k \) or \( \hat{\zeta}_k \) and a branch point on \( \Gamma \), as well as some continuation arcs between them traversed in any sense, while for the \( e \)-domains at least one of the arcs of \( \Gamma \) should be traversed clockwise.

In the case \( 0 < r < 1/\sqrt{3}, \) the equation (13) has four imaginary roots \( u_j \), thus the corresponding \( z_j \) are on the line passing through \( \pm e^{i (\alpha + \pi/2)} \) and they are branch points of \( (\hat{\mathbb{C}},B) \). It is easily seen that they are two by two \( h \)-symmetric. This line is bordering some of \( i \)-domains and \( e \)-domains visible on Figure 2(f) and (i). Every \( i \)-domain is mapped anticonformally by \( h \) on an \( e \)-domain and vice-versa. The dependence of the configuration on \( r \) is illustrated on the website [1].

To summarize, Figure 2 is organized in three columns. The left column (Figure 2(a), (d), (g) and (h)) illustrates the case \( 1/\sqrt{3} < r < 1 \) and gives, respectively, the boundaries of the fundamental domains, the preimage of colored annuli under \( B \), the preimage of colored annuli in the unit disk and the projection of the boundaries of the fundamental domains on the Steiner surface. The middle column (Figure 2(b), (e), (h) and (k)) illustrates the case \( r = 1/\sqrt{3} \) and the right column (Figure 2(c), (f), (i) and (l)) illustrates the case \( 0 < r < \sqrt{3} \). Some of the colored annuli are shown in Figure 3(l).

Suppose now that the second change is made in (4). The same conclusion as in the previous example is valid, except that the intervals \((0, 1/\sqrt{3})\) and \((1/\sqrt{3}, 1)\) for \( \rho = 1/r \) must be replaced
by \((\sqrt{3}, \infty)\), respectively \((1, \sqrt{3})\) for \(r\). This case is illustrated in Figure 3 and we notice a striking similarity with Figure 2 despite of the fact that the two cases represent Blaschke quotients of very different nature.

If both of the previously mentioned changes are made, the formula (10) applies, where \(|1/a| < 1\). This time \(B\) switches the interior and the exterior of the unit disc, mapping the unit circle on itself, and for \(|\zeta| = 1\) we have:

\[
B'(\zeta) = -\frac{1}{\zeta^2} B'_{1/a}(1/\zeta) \neq 0. \tag{14}
\]

In this case, the pre-image of the unit circle is the unit circle. Consequently, the equation \(B(z) = e^{-in\alpha}\) has distinct solutions all situated on the unit circle. The simultaneous continuation from these points over the ray \(u(\tau) = \tau e^{-in\alpha}, \tau \geq 0,\) produces \(3n\) arcs delimiting the fundamental domains of \(B\). These arcs meet each other in \(0, a\) and \(-a\), which are branch points of order \(n\), as well as in their symmetric points with respect to the unit circle, and also in the four non-zero solutions of the equation \(B'(z) = 0\), equivalent to \(B'_{1/a}(1/z) = 0\). For \(n = 3\) and \(a = 2e^{i\pi/3}\), we obtain a Blaschke quotient. It is illustrated in Figure 4 and performs a similar mapping with that of Figure 1, except that the saturation of color in Figures 4(d) and (e) is the reverse of that in Figures 1(e) and (f). It is not a surprise that the self mapping of \(P^2\) induced by this quotient appears to be the same as that induced by the the Blaschke product from example 1.

### 3 The Case of Several Zeros of the Same Module

Blaschke products with zeros of the same module and arguments \(\alpha + 2k\pi/n\) appeared to be of special interest in [2]. The condition \(B \circ h = h \circ B\) imposes the form:

\[
B(z) = z^n \left(\frac{a}{1} \right)^n \frac{z^{2n} - a^{2n}}{\alpha^{2n}z^{2n} - 1},
\]

where \(a = re^{i\alpha}\) and \(n = 2k + 1\). The equation \(B(z) = te^{in\alpha}\) is equivalent to \(u^{3n} - r^{2n}tu^{2n} - r^{2n}u^n + t = 0\), where \(u = e^{-in\alpha}z\), which is an algebraic equation of degree \(3n\) and consequently has \(3n\) solutions \(u_k^{(j)}(t)\), \(k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, j = 0, 1, 2\) (counted with their multiplicities). In particular, \(u_k^{(0)}(0) = 0\), \(u_k^{(1)}(0) = ru_\omega\), and \(u_k^{(2)}(0) = -ru_\omega\), \(k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1\), while \(u_k^{(1)}(1) = e^{-i\pi/n}u_\omega\) and \(u_k^{(2)}(1) = e^{i\pi/n}u_\omega\), \(k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1\). Here \(\theta\) is the argument of \((1 + r^{2n})/2 + i\sqrt{4 - (1 + r^{2n})^2}/2\), hence \(\theta = \arccos(1 + r^{2n})/2\). Correspondingly, we have \(z_k^{(0)}(0) = 0\), \(z_k^{(1)}(0) = a_\omega\) and \(z_k^{(2)}(0) = ae^{i\pi/n}a_\omega\), while \(z_k^{(0)}(1) = e^{i(\alpha - \pi/n)}a_\omega\), \(z_k^{(1)}(1) = e^{i(\alpha - \pi/n)}a_\omega\) and \(z_k^{(2)}(1) = e^{i(\alpha + \pi/n)}a_\omega\), \(k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1\). Since \(B'(\zeta) \neq 0\) for \(|\zeta| = 1\), these last \(3n\) solutions are distinct.

The equation \(B'(z) = 0\) has the solutions \(z = 0\) of order \(n - 1\), as well as the simple solutions

\[
b_k = (1/r^{2\sqrt{2}})^{2v} \sqrt[3]{3 - r^{4n} - \sqrt{(3 - r^{4n})^2 - 4r^{4n}e^{i\alpha}a_\omega}}
\]

inside the unit circle, and \(1/b_k\) outside the unit circle, where \(k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1\).

As \(t\) varies from 1 to \(|B(b_k)|\), the points \(z_k^{(j)}(t)\) describe \(3n\) arcs expanding inside the unit circle from the points \(z_k^{(j)}(1)\) situated on the unit circle. They meet in triplets in the points \(b_k\), when \(t = |B(b_k)|\). Since \(|b_k| < r\), and \(B(re^{i\alpha}a_\omega) = 0\), \(n\) of these arcs pass first through the origin before arriving at \(b_k\). As \(t\) varies from 1 to \(1/|B(b_k)|\), the corresponding arcs will be symmetric to the previous arcs with respect to the unit circle. All together, they form the boundaries of \(2n\)
unbounded fundamental domains and \( n \) bounded fundamental domains. Let us denote by \( \Omega_k^{(0)} \) the fundamental domain containing the arc \( z(t) = e^{it}, \ t \in (\alpha + \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{n}, \alpha + \frac{2k\pi - \theta}{n}) \), by \( \Omega_k^{(1)} \) the fundamental domain containing the arc \( z(t) = e^{it}, \ t \in (\alpha + \frac{2k\pi - \theta}{n}, \alpha + \frac{2k\pi + \theta}{n}) \) and by \( \Omega_k^{(2)} \) the fundamental domain containing the arc \( z(t) = e^{it}, \ t \in (\alpha + \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{n}, \alpha + \frac{(2k+2)\pi}{n}) \), \( k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 \).

The points 0 and \( \infty \) are branch points of order \( n \), while every point \( b_k \) and every point \( 1/b_k \) is a branch point of order 3 of the covering Riemann surface \((\hat{\mathbb{C}}, B)\). We proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1:** The domains \( \Omega_k^{(j)}, \ j = 0, 1, 2, k = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 \) are mapped conformally by \( B \) on \( \hat{\mathbb{C}} \) from which the the ray \( w(\tau) = re^{in\alpha}, \tau \geq 0 \), has been removed.

When dealing with the cover transformations of \((\hat{\mathbb{C}}, B)\) we have to solve the equation \( B(\zeta) = B(z) \) for \( \zeta \), where \( B \) is given by (15). The solutions of this 3\( n \)-degree equation are:

\[
\zeta = S_p^{(q)}(z) = \left[ B \left|_{\Omega_p^{(q+j}(\text{mod } 3)} \right. \right] \circ B \left|_{\Omega_k^{(j)}(z)} \right. , \ q, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \ p, k \in \{0, 1, ..., n - 1\} \tag{17}
\]

It can be easily checked that \( S_p^{(0)}(z) = \omega_p z, \ S_p^{(1)}(z) = \varphi(z)\omega_p \) and \( S_p^{(2)}(z) = \psi(z)\omega_p, \ p = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 \), where \( \varphi(z) \) and \( \psi(z) \) are the uniform branches of the following multivalued functions:

\[
z \to \begin{cases} &\left[ \frac{e^{in\alpha}}{(1 - (re^{-i\alpha z})2n)} \right][(r^{4n} - 1)(ze^{-i\alpha})^n] \\ &\pm \sqrt{(1 - r^{4n})^2(ze^{-i\alpha})^{2n} + 4[1 - (re^{-i\alpha z})^{2n}][r^{2n} - (e^{-i\alpha z})^{2n}]} \right]^{1/n} \end{cases}. \tag{18}
\]

We notice that \( \varphi(e^{i(\alpha - \pi/n)}\omega_p) = \{e^{i(n\alpha + \theta)}\}^{1/n} \) and \( \psi(e^{i(\alpha - \pi/n)}\omega_p) = \{e^{i(n\alpha - \theta)}\}^{1/n}, \ p = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 \). Therefore we can choose the principal branches of the multivalued functions (18) such that

\[
S_p^{(0)}(e^{i(\alpha - \pi/n)}\omega_k) = e^{i(\alpha - \pi/n)}\omega_{p+k}, \ S_p^{(1)}(e^{i(\alpha - \pi/n)}\omega_k) = e^{i(\alpha + \theta/n)}\omega_{p+k}
\]

and

\[
S_p^{(2)}(e^{i(\alpha - \pi/n)}\omega_k) = e^{i(\alpha - \theta/n)}\omega_{p+k}.
\]

In other words, we have:

\[
S_p^{(q)} \circ S_p^{(s)} = S_p^{q + s \text{ (mod } 3)} \text{ (mod } n)\). \tag{19}
\]

Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2:** The group of covering transformations of \((\hat{\mathbb{C}}, B)\), with \( B \) given by (15) is the group of transformations (17) with the composition law (19).

On the website of the project [1] we illustrate the situation when \( n = 3 \). After the change of variable \( \zeta = e^{-i\alpha z} \), the equation \( B(z) = e^{3\alpha i} \) becomes:

\[
\zeta^3 \frac{\zeta^6 - r^6}{r^6\zeta^6 - 1} = 1, \tag{20}
\]

with the solutions: \( \zeta_1 = -1, \zeta_{2,3} = \frac{1}{2} \pm i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \), and the cubic roots of \( \frac{1}{2}[1 + r^6 \pm i\sqrt{4 - (1 + r^6)^2}] \) for the other six solutions. We notice that the first three of them do not depend on \( r \), while the last
six tend two by two to the cubic roots of unity as \( r \to 1 \). Rotating the picture around the origin by an angle \( \alpha \) we obtain the description of the situation in terms of \( z \). The expression (16) becomes:

\[
\pm \frac{1}{r \sqrt{2}} e^{3-r^{12} \pm \sqrt{(3-r^{12})^2 - 4r^{12}e^i\alpha \omega_k}}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2,
\]

where \( \omega_k \) are the roots of order 3 of unity. These points are situated on the line passing through the origin and \( a\omega_k \) and they are branch points of \((\hat{C}, B)\).

Figure 5(a) shows the unit circle cut by 6 arcs joining \( b_k \) and \( 1/\overline{b}_k \), as well as three lines passing through the origin and \( b_k \), from which the segments between \( b_k \) and \( 1/\overline{b}_k \) are removed. There are also three rays \( z(t) = te^{[\alpha+(2k+1)\pi/3]}, k = 0, 1, 2 \). The domains bounded by two consecutive such arcs are mapped conformally by \( B \) on the \( w \)-plane from which the positive real half-axis has been removed.

In formula (15) we can carry out the types of changes we performed in (4) in order to obtain Blaschke quotients commuting with \( h \): replacing the factor \( z^n \) by \((1/z)^n\), taking \(|a| > 1\), or both. If we denote by \( B_a \) the Blaschke product (15), and

\[
B(z) = \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^n \left(\frac{a}{\overline{a}}\right)^n \frac{z^{2n} - a^{2n}}{\overline{a}^{2n}z^{2n} - 1},
\]

then we find again that \( B(z) = B_{1/a}(1/z) \) and the same arguments apply as in the previous section.
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The fundamental domains \( \Omega_k \) of the Blaschke quotients studied in the previous sections are all symmetric with respect to \( h \), i.e. \( z \in \Omega_k \) if and only if \( h(z) \in \Omega_k \). Consequently, when factoring by the two element group \((h)\) generated by \( h \), every fundamental domain \( \Omega_k \subset \hat{C} \) is mapped two-to-one on a domain \( \hat{\Omega}_k \subset P^2 \). Moreover, the function \( b : P^2 \to P^2 \) defined by \( b(\tilde{z}) = \overline{B(\tilde{z})} \) maps every \( \hat{\Omega}_k \) bijectively on \( P^2 \), hence \( \Omega_k \) are fundamental domains of \( b \).

Steiner’s Roman surface is a topological realization of \( P^2 = \hat{C}/(h) \). Endowed with the dianalytic structure induced by the analytic structure of \( \hat{C} \), the surface \( P^2 \) becomes a non orientable Klein surface. The canonical projection

\[
\Pi : \hat{C} \to P^2
\]

defined by \( \Pi(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{z} \) is a morphism of Klein surfaces and \((\hat{C}, \Pi)\) is a covering surface of \( P^2 \) (the orientable double cover of \( P^2 \)). We have \( \Pi \circ h = \Pi \) and for every Blaschke quotient (3), the identity \( \Pi \circ B = b \circ \Pi \) is true, hence \( \Pi \circ B \circ h = b \circ \Pi \circ h = b \circ \Pi \).

The mapping \( b \) defined on \( P^2 \) is a dianalytic mapping of the interior of every fundamental domain \( \hat{\Omega}_k \) on \( P^2 \) provided with a slit. We call it a Blaschke self-mapping of \( P^2 \).

We have proved in [5] that the Blaschke quotient (3) induces a dianalytic self-mapping of \( P^2 \) having exactly \( 2(p + n) + 1 \) fundamental domains.

For the topological Steiner surface, the canonical image \( \partial \hat{D} \) of the unit circle \( \partial D \) does not play any special role. However, when considering \( P^2 \) as a non orientable Klein surface, \( \partial \hat{D} \) assumes a special role. Namely, we have shown in [5] that every dianalytic self-mapping \( b \) of \( P^2 \) such that \( b(\tilde{z}) \in \partial \hat{D} \) if and only if \( \tilde{z} \in \partial D \) is a finite Blaschke self-mapping of \( P^2 \). Moreover, the boundary of every fundamental domain \( \hat{\Omega}_k \) of \( b \) contains a sub-arc of \( \partial \hat{D} \). More exactly, there are \( 2(n + p) + 1 \) distinct points \( \tilde{\zeta}_k \in \partial \hat{D} \) such that \( b(\tilde{\zeta}_k) = \overline{1} \) and every half open sub-arc of \( \partial \hat{D} \) determined by two
consecutive points $\tilde{\zeta}_k$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_{k+1}$ belongs to a unique $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ and is mapped bijectively by $b$ on $\tilde{\partial}D$, while $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ is mapped bijectively on $P^2$.

Infinite Blaschke products (3) induce infinite Blaschke self-mapping on $P^2$. Let $E$ be the set of accumulation points of the zeros of $B$. Since $B(a_k) = 0$ if and only if $B(-a_k) = 0$, we conclude that $e^{i\theta} \in E$ if and only if $-e^{i\theta} = h(e^{i\theta}) \in E$. Therefore, there exists $\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{\partial}D$ such that $E = \Pi^{-1}(\tilde{E})$.

The function $b$ cannot be defined on $\tilde{E}$, because $B$ is not defined on $E$. However, the formula $b(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{B}(\tilde{z})$ defines $b$ everywhere on $P^2 \setminus \tilde{E}$ and $P^2 \setminus \tilde{E} = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\Omega}_k$, where $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ are disjoint and $b$ maps every $\tilde{\Omega}_k$ bijectively on $P^2$, the mapping being dianalytic in the interior of $\tilde{\Omega}_k$.

Figures 1(g), 2(j), 2(k), 2(l), 3(j), 3(k), 4(b), and 5(b) show the domains of $P^2$ mapped bijectively on $P^2$ by the Blaschke self-mapping of $P^2$ corresponding to the Blaschke quotients defined in the corresponding previous sections.

5 Invariants of Blaschke Self-Mappings of $P^2$

Let us denote by $B$ an arbitrary Blaschke quotient. If $B$ is infinite, we denote as usual by $E$ the set of accumulation points of the zeros of $B$, otherwise we take $E = \emptyset$. We call an invariant of $B$ any self-mapping $U$ of $\tilde{C} \setminus E$ such that $B \circ U = B$. Obviously, the set of invariants of $B$ is a group of transformations of $\tilde{C} \setminus E$. We have proved in [5] that if $B$ commutes with $h$, then every invariant $U$ of $B$ also commutes with $h$. Moreover, if $b$ is the Blaschke self-mapping of $P^2 \setminus \tilde{E}$ defined by $b(\tilde{z}) = \tilde{B}(\tilde{z})$, then the self-mapping $u$ of $P^2 \setminus \tilde{E}$ defined by $u(\tilde{z}) = U(\tilde{z})$ is an invariant of $b$, i.e. $b \circ u = b$. The set of invariants of $b$ is a group of transformations of $P^2 \setminus \tilde{E}$. They represent the group of covering transformations of the Klein covering surface $(P^2 \setminus \tilde{E}, b)$ of $P^2$.

Once the fundamental domains $\Omega_k$ of $B$ are known, any invariant $U_k$ of $B$ is given by the formula $U_k |_{\Omega_j} = [B |_{\Omega_{k+j}}]^{-1} \circ B |_{\Omega_j}$ for every fundamental domain $\Omega_j$ of $B$. It is an easy exercise to show that composition law is $U_p \circ U_q = U_{p+q(\mod n)}$ if $B$ is finite of degree $n$. The inverse transformations are of the form $U_{k-1}^{-1} = U_{n-k}$ and the identity is $U_0$. If $B$ is infinite, then $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the composition law is simply $U_p \circ U_q = U_{p+q}$. The inverse transformation of $U_k$ is $U_k^{-1} = U_{-k}$.

The explicit computation of $U_k$ is in general rather tedious. If, for example, $B$ is given by (4), such a computation would require solving equations of degree three (with literal coefficients) of the form:

$$\zeta' [r^2 - \zeta'^2] / [1 - r^2 \zeta'^2] = \zeta \omega_k [r^2 - \zeta^2] / [1 - r^2 \zeta^2], \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1,$$

where $\zeta' = e^{-i\alpha} z'$, and $\zeta = e^{-i\alpha} z$.

Suppose however that the solutions of these equations, representing the $3n$ invariants of $B$ have been found and they are of the form $z' = U_k^{(j)}(z)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$; $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Then $U_k^{(j)} \circ U_k^{(j')} = U_k^{(j'')}$, where $k''$ and $j''$ are uniquely determined by $k, j, k', j'$.

A similar statement is true for the invariants of $B$ in (15). Here, with the notation $\zeta' = e^{-i\alpha} z^m$ and $\zeta = e^{-i\alpha} z^n$, we need to solve first the degree three equations

$$\zeta' [r^2 - \zeta'^2] / [1 - r^2 \zeta'^2] = \zeta [r^2 - \zeta^2] / [1 - r^2 \zeta^2]$$

and then replace $\zeta''(j)$ by $\zeta(t) \omega_k$. If we denote by $z' = U_k^{(j)}(z)$ the final solutions, then it can be checked again that the composition law above is still true.

To find the invariants of $b$, we can either use the fundamental domains $\tilde{\Omega}_k$, and apply similar formulas to those used previously, or define directly $u_k$ by identities of the form $u_k(\tilde{z}) = U_k(\tilde{z})$. 10
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6 Technical details

All images for this article have been created using the software Mathematica 6 on a MacBookPro with a 2.33 GHz Intel Core 2 Duo processor. The formulas used for projecting curves on the Steiner surface follow [9]. Sample code is available on the website of the project [1].

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Szabolcs Horvát for helpful hints on Mathematica.

References


College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA, cballant@holycross.edu

York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, dghisa@yorku.ca